



Counterrevolution and Revisionism

AUTHOR: STAFFY (ADAM NICIEJEWSKI)

CPGB-ML: Counterrevolution and Revisionism



Introduction

The Communist Party of Great Britain Marxist-Leninist (CPGB-ML), is a British political party founded in London, England, United Kingdom, on July 3rd, 2004, by former members of the Socialist Labour Party (who the ex-members previously additionally organized in the now defunct British communist party, known as the Association of Communist Workers) who were expelled for providing solidarity to some of the now ex-members of the defunct Communist Party of Great Britain (CPGB, 1920-1991), because as a result of the party organisation veering off to the route of revisionism, and the embracement of eurocommunism. A revisionist ideology marked by its reactionary and the significantly an imperialist characteristics of the tendencies of the pseudo-scientific, and psuedo-materialist indoctrination of the principles of this pseudo-marxist ideological structure. The CPGB-ML insists (specifically in the programme of its political party) that its beliefs align with the principle tenets of marxism-leninism, specifically both the marxist-leninist principles of vanguardism and democratic centralism, and the marxist philosophical framework of dialectical materialism.

Moreover, the CPGB-ML claimed that it represents the “natural progression of the Communist Party of Great Britain (dated in the 1920s and the 1930s),” and specifically claimed that the organisation itself, additionally gained the inspiration from the Communist Party of the Soviet Union (Pre-1956 CPSU). The CPGB-ML, fabricates that it represents as “anti-revisionist,” and “opposes” revisionism and opportunism. The Communist Party of Great Britain Marxist-Leninist's political programme, provided and demonstrated that it opposes revisionist tenets of trotskyism as an example.

“Recognising that social democracy (the Labour party in Britain) has, since the first world war, been a vehicle for not only purveying the influence of the bourgeoisie into the working-class movement, but also an instrument of the imperialist bourgeoisie for suppression of the working class at home and the national-liberation and proletarian movements abroad, the party will wage a relentless and uncompromising struggle against social democracy and its variants – namely, revisionism and Trotskyism.” - Communist Party of Great Britain Marxist-Leninist (CPGB-ML Party Programme and Rules)

Nevertheless, the Communist Party of Great Britain Marxist-Leninist, made a statement that it embraces anti-imperialism, and Lenin's teachings of imperialism. This can be traced to the Communist Party of Great Britain Marxist-Leninist's political party programme:

“Steadfastly adhering to the principles of proletarian internationalism in its struggle for the establishment of such a state of the proletariat, the party shall expose and oppose all imperialist exploitation and oppression and subjugation of peoples of the oppressed countries, rendering all possible political, moral and material support to national-liberation movements everywhere, including the struggle of the Irish people for national self-determination, for the expulsion of imperialist occupation and for the reunification of Ireland.” - Communist Party of Great Britain Marxist-Leninist (CPGB-ML Party Programme and Rules)

Theoretically, the Communist Party of Great Britain Marxist-Leninist (CPGB-ML) is a political party that embraced the political tenets of marxism-leninism, and the principles of the philosophical teachings of Marx, Engels, Lenin and Stalin. Practically however, is the Communist Party of Great Britain Marxist-Leninist (CPGB-ML) a well principled marxist-leninist political party, and align itself with marxism-leninism? This could be furthermore from the truth.

Chapters

- Revisionism – Page 1
- Apologism of Imperialism – Page 34
- Endorsement of Social Fascism – Page 62
- Conclusion – Page 67

Revisionism

The Communist Party of Great Britain Marxist-Leninist, practically, although the party itself implemented democratic centralism in its congresses it held. However, the CPGB-ML postpone an anti-marxist-leninist characteristics, and additionally, a reactionary route within the supposedly “vanguard party.” At any rate, how can we investigate the further evidence of the CPGB-ML's embracement of revisionism instead aligning with the political principle tenets of marxism-leninism? This can be provided with evidence and answers of the revisionist fabrication of the Communist Party of Great Britain Marxist-Leninist.

CPGB-ML's hostility on identity politics

The CPGB-ML, opportunistically, released a statement on the now defunct Red Fightback's (The Red Fightback was an organisation in Britain that was formed as a result in the split of the RCG: the Revolutionary Communist Group) article on the capitalist oppression of the LGBTQ+ community that the ruling class in Britain had implemented. Unscientifically stated that the Red Fightback was “combating” reality. In addition, on the 8th congress of the Communist Party of Britain Marxist-Leninist (happened in September 2018), being held by the Central Committee, strongly rejected and opposed identity politics completely. The CPGB-ML additionally released it on their party programme.

“However, while being totally opposed to discrimination on grounds of race, sex or sexual proclivity, this congress declares that obsession with identity politics, including sexual politics, is anti Marxian. Being reactionary and anti-working class, and a harmful distraction and diversion from the class struggle of the proletariat for its social emancipation, propagation of identity politics, including LGBT ideology, is incompatible with membership of the party.” - Communist Party of Great Britain Marxist-Leninist (CPGB-ML Party Programme and Rules)

To understand the embracement of the unscientific, and reactionary perspectives of the revisionist route that the CPGB-ML aligned itself on the discussion of the topic on identity politics, specifically on the topic of sex gender. What is the definition of identity politics? To summarise this, the definition of identity politics, politically does not revolt around political ideologies. Identity politics, as a result, politically revolts itself on politics of the identity of an individual. This scientifically surround an individual who are white, black, asian, male, female, male homosexual, female homosexual, transgender, etc. The enormous groups that would represent and embrace identity politics are the LGBTQ+ community, and the Black Lives Matter movement, as marxists should provide solidarity to these enormous groups as the struggle for LGBTQ+ rights and the rights of the black population against the racial and patriarchal structures within capitalism, as these struggles, just as the struggles for women's rights, and disability rights, are part of class struggle for the opposition of capital, and the wealthy bourgeoisie.

The CPGB-ML, however, strongly rejects this route of class struggle as “reactionary and anti-marxian,” as the CPGB-ML opportunistically condemns it as “anti-proletariat.” Furthermore, the CPGB-ML's fabrication on this reactionary stance on the hostility on identity politics is negatively dishonest and be opposed.

This opportunist stance of the CPGB-ML of implementing hostility on identity politics by strongly condemning the struggles of LGBTQ+ rights, ethnic rights, disability rights, and women's rights, as "anti-proletariat," is nevertheless, a class collaborationist position, and an embracement of tailism. What is the definition of tailism? To summarise it, the definition of tailism is a form of revisionism that opportunistically rejects many routes of class struggle against capitalism, and implement a reactionary position that the struggle based on sexuality and ethnicity as "culture war," and not routes of class struggle, by denying that racism and patriarchy are the structures within capitalism. In a marxist perspective, tailism is a counterrevolutionary position on dividing the working class by decreasing class struggle, and permit the bourgeoisie to still be in power, to prevent a revolutionary potential of the working class from obtaining power in a class war against the monstrous of capital.

For a simple explanation, tailism is a form of revisionism that opportunistically divides the working class, in order to lead them to the path of reactionaryism. Capitalism's main manipulative tactic is divide and rule, to demonstrate a route of reaction to the proletariat on homophobia, transphobia, ableism, sexism, racism, etc, in order for the bourgeoisie to stay in power. The CPGB-ML's stance on identity politics by strongly rejecting class struggle exposes the counterrevolutionary, and anti-materialist tenets of the CPGB-ML, on misguiding the British working class to strongly reject the routes of class struggle for the British ruling class to continue on ruling to oppress the working class.

We should remember that class struggle is based on not only class but also based on race, sexuality, and disability, as there are different types of oppressions within class struggle on the opposition of capitalism, and not just the oppression of white straight working class men.

To provide an explanation, identity politics isn't the main issue. The main issue is liberalism, and the far right. Specifically, the main issue is capitalism. Capitalism, particularly in the Western world, have, although parasitically invent any tactics to divide the proletariat. However, it opportunistically used the issues of racism, sexism, anti-LGBTQ+, etc, (that it had implemented to begin with to prevent the proletariat from obtaining power), on the mainstream media as not a result of caring the LGBTQ+ community, ethnic minorities etc. Specifically, as a result of a way for the wealthy capitalists to gain more profit. A way for positive business for the wealthy minority that oppressed the majority from the parasitic system of capital.

Liberal perspective on identity politics: Liberalism fabricates and provide a misinterpretation on issues of racism, homophobia, transphobia, ableism, and sexism. Additionally, Liberals provide on these issues that represents in a capitalist society. However, liberals uncritically address that issues of racism, homophobia etc, were implemented out of thin air, and deniably address that these issues in the present world were implemented by capitalism, a system designed of inequality. I'll elaborate why this conclusion on addressing identity politics on the perspective of liberalism is incorrect. As an example, liberals explain the issues of sexism among women. However, liberals address this issue from all classes uncritically.

To summarise this, both the female CEO and female workers, although both encounter sexual harassment. In class background however, they're not in the same position. Female workers received the same alienation as the male workers, and the female CEO are in the same position as their male counterparts. Furthermore, both the women and the men from the ruling class produce wealth out of exploitation of the working class.

Female workers, male workers, transgender workers, etc. Liberalism, in conclusion, entirely denied dialectical materialism and class analysis of these types of issues that capitalism have created to begin with. Liberalism uncritically provide defensive approach on the capitalist system, a system that brought inequality among the masses and dividing the proletariat for the tiny minority (the capitalists) to still on continuing the dictatorship of the bourgeoisie. Liberalism is an offspring to capitalism, and deny capitalism is not only a system of inequality to oppress the people. Moreover, liberalism denies capitalism caused all the present day issues, specifically racism included.

Far Right perspective on identity politics: The far right approach on identity politics have the identical perspective as the liberal perspective. Denying capitalism caused all issues in the present day. However, the difference here is the far right negatively took identity politics based on a racial hierarchy. The far right fabricate in a reaction position that the LGBTQ+ community, Jews, black people and other ethnic minorities, immigrants etc, were “the real cause of the present day issues.” The far right, in addition, invented a conspiracy theory of the so-called “LGBTQ+ ideology/Gender ideology.” To summarise this, issues in the present day weren't caused by ethnic minorities, immigrants, the LGBTQ+ community, etc. As a result, the cause of all issues in the present day is neo-liberalism, specifically capitalism.

The LGBTQ+ community, ethnic minorities, immigrants etc, are not the enemies as they are our class brothers and sisters who encounter the same fate on alienation under capitalism. The reality of immigrants of fleeing from their home countries and relocating to the more wealthier capitalist countries, specifically the first world, was a result of imperialism from both the first world and -

the second world, to get their hands on the natural resources from the third world. As I explained, they are our class brothers and sisters who encounter the same fate on alienation under capitalism. The bourgeoisie, as I explained earlier, uses the manipulative tactic on the prevention of the proletariat to overthrow the oppressive system (capitalism) that was designed for the tiny minority (the wealthy capitalists) to enrich themselves, and onto the construction of socialism, with the assistance and guidance from a vanguard party, a party of the most class conscious. Furthermore, the bourgeoisie uses divide and rule, to decrease class struggle, and lead the working class to the path of reaction (racism, homophobia, transphobia, sexism, ableism, etc), in order for the wealthy bourgeoisie to continue on ruling to implement oppression.

The ruling class, in addition, uses its bloodthirsty form (fascism) and its henchmen (the far right) to oppress the people from obtaining power, and the bourgeoisie, additionally, using the far right on the prevention on a potential of a socialist revolution, and on a path to socialism, in a time of crisis in the dystopian world of capitalism. The far right, identically as liberalism, entirely denied dialectical materialism and class analysis of these types of issues that capitalism have created to begin with. The reactionaries oppose individuals based on sexuality, ethnicity, and disability, and additionally not based on class. As comrade Lenin demonstrated on the Jewish population in Tsarist Russia:

“Anti-Semitism means spreading enmity towards the Jews. When the accursed tsarist monarchy was living its last days it tried to incite ignorant workers and peasants against the Jews. The tsarist police, in alliance with the landowners and the capitalists, organised pogroms against the Jews. The landowners and capitalists tried to divert the hatred of the workers and peasants who were tortured by want against the Jews. In other countries, too, we often see the -

capitalists fomenting hatred against the Jews in order to blind the workers, to divert their attention from the real enemy of the working people, capital. Hatred towards the Jews persists only in those countries where slavery to the landowners and capitalists has created abysmal ignorance among the workers and peasants. Only the most ignorant and downtrodden people can believe the lies and slander that are spread about the Jews. This is a survival of ancient feudal times, when the priests burned heretics at the stake, when the peasants lived in slavery, and when the people were crushed and inarticulate. This ancient, feudal ignorance is passing away; the eyes of the people are being opened.

It is not the Jews who are the enemies of the working people. The enemies of the workers are the capitalists of all countries. Among the Jews there are working people, and they form the majority. They are our brothers, who, like us, are oppressed by capital; they are our comrades in the struggle for socialism. Among the Jews there are kulaks, exploiters and capitalists, just as there are among the Russians, and among people of all nations. The capitalists strive to sow and foment hatred between workers of different faiths, different nations and different races. Those who do not work are kept in power by the power and strength of capital. Rich Jews, like rich Russians, and the rich in all countries, are in alliance to oppress, crush, rob and disunite the workers.

Shame on accursed tsarism which tortured and persecuted the Jews. Shame on those who foment hatred towards the Jews, who foment hatred towards other nations." - Lenin (Anti-Jewish Pogroms)

The Far Right perspective on identity politics, identically as the liberal perspective on identity politics, is inherently incorrect and should be rejected completely. We must reject the anti-communist, and even revisionist perspective on identity politics, and additionally follow the correct marxist perspective on identity politics. The CPGB-ML's stance on the rejection of the struggle of

the LGBTQ+ community against capitalism, and negatively denying it of being the route of class struggle, along with feminism/women's rights, ethnic rights, etc, as additionally, they are objectively the routes of class struggle against capitalism, as those are the routes from different types of oppressions that they encountered under capitalism. It's objectively true that marxists must follow the marxist philosophical framework of dialectical materialism, and furthermore, focus on class struggle against the dictatorship of the bourgeoisie (capitalism). Additionally, it's objectively true that in order to discontinue issues like racism and the patriarchy, we must radically implement a total destruction of capitalism and obtain power for the proletariat, in order for the construction of socialism as socialism is the only way for the discontinuation of issues like racism and the patriarchy, as issues like racism and the patriarchy are structures within capitalism.

However, to opportunistically reject the struggles for LGBTQ+ rights and any other routes of oppression that were part of class struggle against the dictatorship of the bourgeoisie, as something should be completely ignored and let the bourgeoisie oppress, is a counterrevolutionary and class collaborationist position, as embracing the opportunist idea that all routes of class struggle should be opposed, and let the bourgeoisie oppress the proletariat. Embracing this reactionary position is inherently incorrect and immediately opposed. Nevertheless, opposing the LGBTQ+ rights against the ruling class is, to summarise, opposing class struggle. All routes of class struggle (struggle for women's rights, struggle for ethnicity rights, such as black people and Jews, etc), and favouring an anti-marxist position on the implementing of tailism. Additionally, implementing revisionism.

As I demonstrated Lenin's explanation on the oppression of the Jewish population in Tsarist Russia. The LGBTQ+ community, -

particularly the transgender people in the present day, are obviously outright oppressed. Additionally, the dictatorship of the bourgeoisie implemented transphobic sentiment to demonise transgender people and disgustingly divide the people to implement hostility themselves by the ruling class. This has simply been common in capitalist countries outside the western world, specifically being common in Russia, a nation under the dictatorship of the bourgeoisie with the elements of kleptocracy and an oligarchy. Furthermore, transphobia is specifically even common in the Western world. In Britain, the ruling class were implementing transphobia within the British people. However, with the current government of the Tory Party's identical twin, the Labour Party, additionally known as the Red Tory Party, opportunistically use the issues of transphobia and homophobia to obtain power, and continued the transphobia sentiment from the Tory Party.

Transgender people encountered discrimination on a daily basis, specifically when the ruling class in Britain implemented transphobic sentiment on the British people. In addition, the bourgeoisie implemented transphobia and alongside with homophobia in workplaces to divide the working class to bombard LGBTQ+ workers. It is necessarily important as marxists on providing assistance and guidance to the working class that the LGBTQ+ community, specifically transgender people, aren't the real threat, as the real threat is the dictatorship of the bourgeoisie and its henchmen (the far right). Furthermore, marxists additionally must provide assistance and guidance on many of the LGBTQ+ community who aren't that class conscious, and have not read the philosophical framework of marxism, that the discrimination they dealt and bombarded with is coming from capital, and not completely anonymously out of thin air.

Capitalism is an inequality system designed to not only enrich the wealthy but additionally as a result of oppressing the majority, and preventing the increasing chance of class struggle and the path to socialism, as furthermore, it uses the manipulative tactic of divide and rule, so the dictatorship of the bourgeoisie remains. Of course, this is something that the CPGB-ML rejects to address on the hostility attacks and discrimination of the LGBTQ+ community from the ruling class in Britain, nor demonstrating to the LGBTQ+ community that the issues of discrimination against them was caused by capitalism and the wealthy capitalists. The CPGB-ML unaddressed to the LGBTQ+ community that all the political parties (except the Workers Party of Britain, WPB) in the British parliament are all imperialist parties who serve the interests of imperialism, along with the ruling class, the House of Lords, and the British Royal Family, and implement discrimination against them, specifically the current ruling government of the Labour Party, opportunistically using the issues of discrimination of the LGBTQ+ community, and only provide an explanation that Labour “opposes it,” as not a result of caring for the LGBTQ+ community, but as a result of a way to produce more wealth for business for the wealthy capitalists.

Anti-LGBTQ+ sentiment is openly represented within the Communist Party of Great Britain Marxist-Leninist, alongside with its Central Committee, with implementing homophobia and transphobia within the party. Surprisingly as a result, many youth members of the CPGB-ML, particularly some of who are part of the LGBTQ+ community, left the party after the CPGB-ML's anti-marxist position on the LGBTQ+ community on the 8th congress. One of the ex-members of the CPGB-ML, Lewis Hodder, announced a statement on his political article on discrimination of transgender people, Inside the Last Days of the CPGB-ML:

As an oppressed group, trans people suffer tremendously. In the UK alone, 60% of transgender people have attempted suicide, with a further 25% more than once; 81% of transgender have received verbal abuse with 38% experiencing physical abuse; 59% have self-harmed, as opposed to the overall population of which 8% of people have self-harmed. As well as this, 62% of trans people have a dependency on alcohol as opposed to 12% of the population. (What Statistics Show About British Transgender People, Buzz Bournemouth) But it is also through class that trans people suffer. In the workplace, 88% of transgender employees have experienced discrimination or harassment in the workplace and recent hate crime against trans people has risen by 14%. 95% of transgender employees were concerned about 'outing' themselves at work for fear of discrimination and harassment.

Even when transgender people do seek help, this harassment and discrimination extends into medical services in the UK – with 11% of transgender and non-binary people being refused services outright and one third experiencing harassment in services in the last five years. Prior to this, 'treatment' was far more severe. While, 'in 1981, the Harry Benjamin Institute estimated that 50% of the transsexual population died by their own hands by the age of 30' it is not so long ago that aversion treatment in the form of electro-convulsive therapy was employed by the medical profession as a considered treatment for this "condition"; with a reputed 0% success rate, but a staggering 30% suicide rate.' That same study goes on to note that, 'Medical treatment to enable transsexual people to alter their bodies to match their core identity has been highly effective with around a 98% success rate. Definitely a case of "Je ne regrette Rien".' - Lewis Hodder, ex-member of the Communist Party of Great Britain Marxist-Leninist (Inside the Last Days of the CPGB-ML)

The hypocrisy of the CPGB-ML's stance on different types of oppressions within capitalism and the capitalists all together.

On the CPGB-ML's political programme, they stated capitalism caused the issue of immigration and the discrimination of immigrants:

“The party firmly believes that immigration is not the cause of the ills of the working class in Britain, which are solely the result of the failings of the capitalist system. Immigration and asylum legislation and controls under capitalism have only one real goal: the division of the working class along racial lines, thus fatally weakening that class's ability to organise itself and to wage a revolutionary struggle for the overthrow of imperialism. These controls have the further effect of creating an army of 'illegal' immigrant workers, prey to super exploitation and living in dire conditions as an underclass, outside the system, afraid to organise and exercising a downward pull on the wages and conditions of all workers. The scourge of racism, along with all other ills of capitalism, will only be finally abolished after the successful overthrow of imperialism. But since immigration can no more be abolished under capitalism than can wage slavery, the party calls not for the further control and scapegoating of immigrants, but for the abolition of all border controls, as part of the wider fight to uproot racism from the working class movement and build unity among workers in Britain, so strengthening the fight for communism.” - Communist Party of Great Britain Marxist-Leninist (CPGB-ML Party Programme and Rules)

However, the CPGB-ML additionally rejects struggles for the rights of the LGBTQ+ community against capital:

“However, while being totally opposed to discrimination on grounds of race, sex or sexual proclivity, this congress declares that obsession with identity politics, including sexual politics, is antiMarxian. Being reactionary and anti-working class, and a harmful distraction and diversion from the class struggle of the proletariat for its social emancipation, propagation of identity politics, including LGBT ideology, is incompatible with membership of the party.” - CPGB-ML (CPGB-ML Party Programme and Rules)

The CPGB-ML's position, both theoretically and practically, implements in its party with the methods of tailism, a revisionist form. Implementing discrimination on the LGBTQ+ community as "anti-working class," and the so-called "LGBT ideology." In the CPGB-ML's article, "Why gay rights is not a class issue," the article affirms that racism and sexism are indeed class concerns, a fact that is self-evident. Yet, it reveals a bias against homosexuality in its title. Of course, the statement I want to demonstrate on the section of gay rights, specifically under the heading "Contradictions among the people and how they are manipulated by imperialism":

"Now the question of people being unpleasant to others who are a bit different, that is not a class question. We don't approve of being mean to people who are different. We don't approve of mocking people because they have wooden legs or are different in any other way. It tends to be a feature of human nature, but of course we are opposed to people being persecuted for being a bit different to the norm.

Only a minority of people are gay, and only a very tiny minority of people have gender dysphoria. However, these people are harmless to society and there is absolutely no need to persecute them.

The western imperialist bourgeoisie has suddenly discovered and embraced gay and transgender rights, which only yesterday it was vigorously opposing, to the point that today it is those who raise even the slightest question over even the most absurd demands of self-appointed LGBT activists who find themselves persecuted.

The advantage to the bourgeoisie of its newly-discovered enthusiasm for gay rights is that it can use them to castigate oppressed countries who stick to traditional religious prejudices on this issue whenever they fail to fall in line with imperialist demands. Needless to say, the full force of this 'human rights' assault never falls on such client states of imperialism as Saudi Arabia, but only on those countries that resist imperialist hegemony. *An excessive obsession with LGBT rights can therefore lead the unwary into -*

backing imperialism against anti-imperialist governments.” - Communist Party of Great Britain Marxist-Leninist (Why gay rights is not class issue)

Instead of providing a detailed analysis, the CPGB-ML merely reduced it to "unpleasant on others." This is unintelligently a mockery of LGBT rights. Furthermore, a potential mockery of the role of race and women oppression in class society. For instance, I can as simply explain "the white population are just being unpleasant to the black population, and as a result, there is no racism" or "Men are just being unpleasant to women, and as a result, there is no women oppression under the patriarchy" and none would be the wiser. In this regard, making such a shoddy analysis is damaging to a communist party. They simply merely state that they do not approve being mean to those who are different after that, as if to cover their main point.

As a result, This is not journalism, nor a marxist perspective, as additionally, this is a conservative perspective. Next, they attempted to use the exact method of "They are the exception so we do not need to care." The fact that exceptions are still exceptions no matter how minute they are, is a fact that exceptions remain exceptions. If 1/10 of the population are LGBTQ+ of some kind (A conservative estimate, there would be an increase of the bisexual population than we thought), then that would be estimated for the population of 800 million. If 0.1/10 consider transgender, then the estimation would calculate of 80 million. Are 800 million of the LGBTQ+ population a minority, as a result of the LGBTQ+ community only 1/10 of the population? They historically and presently exist, and remained for existence, and encountered the same oppression as women under the patriarchy centuries back, and to this day in the twenty-first century in countries like Afghanistan under the fascist regime of the Taliban.

As usual, the CPGB-ML backpedaled their arguments to fabricate it less than worse than it is. The CPGB-ML's argument of "The western imperialist bourgeoisie has suddenly discovered and embraced gay and transgender rights." They are arguing that the LGBTQ+ community have "unexplainably appeared." This is a dishonest lie, and a historical revisionism, as the LGBTQ+ community always existed in both presently and historically. Additionally, a centuries back in the historical period of feudalism, homosexuals (as an example) existed, and lived during that historical period. Although in the times of feudalism, homosexual, heterosexual, and other terms historically never emerged into existence at this time, as the concept of it wasn't a thing yet. However, practices of homosexuality and being a homosexual was prohibited, as a result of receiving punishments, specifically receiving capital punishment, as a result of homosexuality viewed as a sin. In addition, in mainland Europe, specifically the western part of mainland Europe with the domination under the Catholic Church and Catholicism, homosexuality in mainland Europe was additionally as a result viewed as a sin just as how it was viewed in England as an example.

The exact same thing happened in the historical period of the slave society, specifically empires as the Roman Empire, where homosexuality was prohibited and resulted on capital punishment. In the Byzantine Empire, commonly known as the Eastern Roman Empire, prohibits homosexuality and the practices of homosexuality. Bishops were caught practising homosexuality and openly a homosexual, were resulted of receiving both being tortured and mutilated as a punishment. Finally, in the early developments of capitalism and following the nineteenth century, the dictatorship of the bourgeoisie was openly hostile towards non-heterosexuals, and continued the fashioned way as feudalism and the slave society with the patriarchal structure, specifically with "the family" concept.

Of course, discrimination on homosexuality, as well as following discrimination on transgender, was common back then than partially today in the twenty-first century, as the struggles for the LGBTQ+ rights was unrecognised at those periods, as how women's rights and ethnic rights were unrecognised, as a result of the world dictatorship of the bourgeoisie openly opposing it entirely. However, following in the twentieth century, struggles for rights of women, ethnic minorities, and the LGBTQ+ were slowly to began to be recognised. As an example, the German Democratic Republic, commonly known as East Germany, in 1968, decriminalised homosexuality, although in 1957, homosexuals were already exempt from legal prosecution, as a result of homosexuality being not a threat to the socialist society.

As the judge stated: “Homosexual persons are thus not excluded from the socialist society and civil rights are guaranteed to them as to all other citizens. Their discrimination and moral devaluation is to be rejected accordingly and they are to be protected from all attacks on their integrity (e.g., through defamation, bodily injury, hooliganism) through civil as well as criminal proceedings.”

In 1987, at the revisionist period of the German Democratic Republic, East Germany provided equal rights for its LGBTQ+ citizens. Despite the German Democratic Republic being demonised by the anti-communist propaganda. However, anti-communists admitted that the German Democratic Republic, otherwise known as East Germany, was the most progressive Eastern bloc country in the period of the Cold War. Nevertheless, following the dissolution of the German Democratic Republic in late 1990, as a result of the annexation from West Germany. The international queer publications suggested that rights for transgender would reverse back by decades.

In the late twentieth century and the beginning of the twenty-first century, LGBTQ+ rights has becoming recognised, specifically commonly in the societies of capitalist countries in the Western world, as it began to be recognised as a part of a struggle against discrimination. Marxists, however, must provide a marxist perspective on LGBTQ+ rights than how an average uncritically individual provide an explanation on the struggles for LGBTQ+ rights. Marxists provide a dialectical explanation on LGBTQ+ rights as the struggles for LGBTQ+ rights, just as the struggles for women's rights and ethnic rights, specifically the struggles for the rights of the black community, is part of class struggle against the dictatorship of the bourgeoisie, as a result, of there are different types of oppressions within capitalism, and all of the different types of oppressions that the ruling class implemented are on the same boat (class struggle) against the inequality system of capital.

The international anti-revisionist movement, on the second half of the twentieth century, and in the present day, recognised the struggles for the rights of the LGBTQ+ community as one of the types of oppressions within the dictatorship of the bourgeoisie, just as how the dictatorship of the bourgeoisie was implementing oppressions on women, ethnic minorities, specifically the black community, etc. This is what the CPGB-ML unintelligently demonstrate, as the CPGB-ML fabricated an undialectical and a pseudo-intellectual position to ignore the historical context of the oppression of the LGBTQ+ community from the slave society to feudalism, and to capitalism. The real explanation on the dictatorship of the bourgeoisie in the first world now denying they implemented the oppressions in the first place, along now mentioning issues like homophobia and transphobia as an example, was not a result of caring about it, rather as a result of viewing it as a trend. A way to invest profit for businesses for the wealthy capitalists.

The CPGB-ML statement of "LGBT ideology wants more than equal rights", they explain something that is transphobic:

"But, to return to the question of the demands of the self-appointed LGBT activists. Unlike ordinary people who happen to be gay or transgender, they are not happy with simply being allowed to live their lives in peace and without discrimination; it is not just a question of men and women wanting to be accepted even though they're different.

For the so-called activists, it is a question of going far further than that, to the point of absurdity. Transgender activists want us, for instance, to encourage little boys and little girls who prefer the lifestyle that society offers to people of the opposite sex to the one that accords to their own sex to actually physically mutilate themselves in order to achieve the appearance of a person of the other sex." - Communist Party of Great Britain Marxist-Leninist (Why gay rights is not class issue)

The CPGB-ML, reactionary fabricated a dishonest lie that gave a hostile position on transgender people. An argument they stated of "they are attacking the children." Additionally, along the lines in their article (The reactionary nightmare of 'gender fluidity'), they disregard the existence of ableism:

There is even a movement termed 'ableism' or 'trans-ableism'. There exist people who say: "I look as if I've got two arms and two legs, but actually in reality, I feel like I was born disabled."

"There are people who are petitioning for the right to have an arm or a leg cut off; to have an operation which will make their physical form conform to how they feel; "my inner essence." - Communist Party of Great Britain Marxist-Leninist (The reactionary nightmare of 'gender fluidity')

The CPGB-ML denies the existence of Hidden disabilities, such as autism, dyslexia, and dyscalculia, and the CPGB-ML provided a misconception that individuals would actually seek to have their limbs removed. Of course, this misconception has no involvement with the transgender people whatsoever. As the Solidarity Post provided an explanation on debunking this:

“This simply isn’t true. Trans rights advocates campaign for transgender youth to be allowed to take hormone blockers, to delay puberty until they’ve had time to work out whether or not they want to start HRT. The idea that trans children are being steered into having gender affirming surgeries is also a myth. In all but exceptionally rare cases, trans people have to wait until they’re 18 to access these procedures.

To say that trans boys and girls “prefer the lifestyle that society offers to people of the opposite sex” is another gross minimisation. This isn’t a harmless lifestyle choice for trans people any more than being a man or woman is a harmless lifestyle choice for cisgender people. Being forced to live as a gender that doesn’t match your internal sense of self is inherently damaging. Or as this study of trans people’s mental health found: “Participants reported that the ability to transition, as well as other protective factors, played a role in reducing suicidality and improving mental and physical health.”

The phrase the CPGB-ML uses here: “physically mutilate themselves in order to achieve the appearance of a person of the other sex,” frames gender affirming surgery as something horrific,

rather than as procedures that improve the mental health and life quality of many trans people. This is a common transphobic trope that works on our fear of the unknown, to paint trans bodies as disgusting rather than beautiful.” - Solidarity Post (The CPGB-ML’s Harmful Drivel on LGBTQ Rights)

The CPGB-ML disgustingly dehumanised not only the LGBTQ+ community, particularly the transgender people. Additionally, the CPGB-ML completely dehumanised individuals who diagnosed with disabilities. furthermore, the so-called “LGBT ideology/Gender ideology” scientifically materially does not exist. The “LGBT ideology/Gender ideology” is a far right conspiracy theory to unscientifically, and pseudo-intellectually fabricate ridiculousness of “children are being indoctrinated and sexualised with the LGBT ideology” that the far right explained uncritically with no scientific evidence to provide other than to dehumanise the LGBTQ+ community with their parasitic, fascistic, and a reactionary position. The CPGB-ML uncritically demonstrated a far right conspiracy theory, and implemented a social fascist position by implementing the far right conspiracy within the party, along with the Central Committee and the party political programme.

There is, concluded, an element of social fascism within CPGB-ML. To provide evidence to back it up, Joti Brar (the daughter of Harpal Brar, and the Vice Chair of the Communist Party of Great Britain Marxist-Leninist), in a discussion with Caleb Maupin, stated:

“We're not against a trans person, It's the ideology that's being pushed on that says you are what you think you are. Now this is total idealism,” and “we'll be happy to see it disappear into history.” - Joti Brar, Vice Chair of the Communist Party of Great Britain Marxist-Leninist (Top British Communist Joti Brar talks with Caleb Maupin)

Of course, Joti favours on dehumanisation and extermination on transgender people, and, although she directly never explained it openly. However, Joti opportunistically sneakily disguised the fascistic message on using the label “ideology,” which again, is a reference to the far right conspiracy theory of the so-called “LGBT ideology/Gender ideology,” as the concept of “LGBT ideology/Gender ideology” scientifically materially does not exist, other than completely dehumanise the LGBTQ+ community. Additionally, this is nothing recent as the far right, historically, specifically in Nazi Germany, embraced the extermination and dehumanisation of the LGBTQ+ community as they were “subhuman.” Furthermore, the LGBTQ+ community were victims of the Holocaust, and were transported to concentration camps, specifically the Auschwitz death camp.

To debunk the “LGBT ideology/Gender ideology” conspiracy theory, I will provide a scientific analysis on biology within animals:

“Same-sex coupling and parenting has been observed in animals for centuries. Over 1,500 animal species engage in same-sex sexual behavior, which can help maintain the health of a species' population. Take a 1910 scientific exploration in Antarctica, where these behaviors were seen in penguins. Two penguins of the same sex have been known to adopt abandoned eggs, protecting them and raising the chicks together.” - WWF (Are there queer animals? Clownfish that change sex, and other species that demonstrate queer behavior)

"Elsewhere under the sea, male bottlenose dolphins build relationships with other males. They travel the ocean together, hunting for food and engaging in sexual behavior. And their relationship is deep and lasting – it's common for these male dolphins to live together for decades! Among bonobos, who share almost 99% of their DNA with humans, homosexual encounters are even more common than heterosexual ones. Bonobo societies are led by a group of sexually connected females. Most bonobos are bisexual, and some scientists believe that the purpose of their sexual relationships is not only to reproduce but also to maintain harmony among the group." - WWF (Are there queer animals? Clownfish that change sex, and other species that demonstrate queer behavior)

"Groups of clownfish are led by a female, while the second-in-command fish is male. When the leader dies, the next-in-line male changes into a female in order to become the leader. The tightly maintained hierarchy helps to avoid conflict and facilitate a healthy life. And clownfish aren't the only ones. In fact, around 500 fish species change their sex as adults!" - WWF (Are there queer animals? Clownfish that change sex, and other species that demonstrate queer behavior)

"Animals complicate sexual binaries and show queer behavior in so many more ways. For example, did you know that male seahorses can give birth? The reproductive process starts when males and females court each other in a dance-like ritual. The dance ends with the female putting her eggs in a pouch in the male's stomach, where he fertilizes them. They will hatch right there in the father seahorse's pouch, which can carry 2,000 babies at a time! He can even adjust the pouch's water salinity to create the best possible conditions for his young. Many animals are considered sexually monomorphic, which means that it is very difficult to tell males and females apart from one another with our human eyes. So, the next time you see two animals of the same species coupling up, you may want to think twice and check any initial assumptions you have as to who you are observing together. From butterflies in your backyard to penguins on Antarctic glaciers, animals around the world -

exhibit a beautiful breadth of sexual fluidity and same-sex relationships Humans do too, and it deserves celebration." - WWF (Are there queer animals? Clownfish that change sex, and other species that demonstrate queer behavior)

This intellectually debunks not only the CPGB-ML's anti-marxist articles as furthermore, additionally scientifically debunks the far right conspiracy theory of the so-called "LGBT ideology/Gender ideology." The CPGB-ML's position on identity politics, concluded, is incorrect and unintellectually misleading, as it confuses with liberalism and the liberal perspective on identity politics. The CPGB-ML, additionally, on their reactionary position on implementing the far right "LGBT ideology/Gender ideology" conspiracy theory is inherently incorrect. Of course, the CPGB-ML try to implement an argument:

"Marx and Engels and Lenin and Stalin didn't devote much attention to the politics of gender fluidity because it did not exist as an issue. This concept – contrary to the opinion of those opposed to this motion – is *not* "as old as humanity." - Communist Party of Great Britain Marxist-Leninist (The reactionary nightmare of 'gender fluidity')

Although the times of Marx, Engels, Lenin and Stalin, uninvestigated on politics surrounding the LGBTQ+ community, as a result of how different society functioned in those historical periods than how society functions in the present day in the twenty-first century. It does not automatically mean to dogmatically fabricate a conclusion on denying dialectical materialism in the twenty-first century, and the situation with the dictatorship of the bourgeoisie. Marxists should follow scientific socialism and the scientific socialist philosophical framework of dialectical materialism, specifically analysing dialectical materialism in the twenty-first century within capitalism, and the different types of oppressions under the dictatorship of the bourgeoisie, not just dialectical materialism in history.

The CPGB-ML outright dogmatically rejected dialectical materialism in the present day with the situation of the dictatorship of the bourgeoisie, along with the historical revisionism on homosexuality, etc, and opportunistically invent dishonest lies on the LGBTQ+ community. The CPGB-ML has officially demonstrated their message verifiably clear. They do not provide solidarity to the LGBTQ+ community, specifically transgender people, which is shameful for a supposed “marxist-leninist” party. Their message continues to be perpetuated to this day.

As evidence:

“So I will conclude by saying: We are not transphobic! There’s nothing to be afraid of in this statement.” - Communist Party of Great Britain Marxist-Leninist (The reactionary nightmare of ‘gender fluidity’)

Finally, the CPGB-ML invents a caricature of a working class with the 1950s characteristics, as the CPGB-ML's delusional mind, this is what a working class should look like. This is a pseudo-intellectual and undialectical position to do. What actually makes you part of the working class is when you sell the labour power to the bourgeoisie in order to receive an income, as a result of not gaining the ownership of the means of production. To summarise it, you have a job and accept that the material conditions within your employment in your workplace, as a result of you being a tool as a way for the capitalists to produce more profit and for the capitalists to be more wealthier than what you receive a payment as wages. It materially has to do with your relationship with the means of production under capitalism based on a class perspective, and not unintelligently based on hair styles, fashioned clothes, etc.

Reformism

Theoretically, The CPGB-ML claimed it embraces the political tenets of marxism-leninism, along in addition, embracing both the philosophical teachings of Marx, Engels, Lenin and Stalin, and the political tenets of anti-revisionism. The CPGB-ML additionally, opposes revisionism, along with reformism, etc, and claimed it is the “the only supposed revolutionary communist party” in Britain that embraces “anti-revisionism.”

“that such a vanguard organisation, as is fully confirmed by history, can only be a Marxist-Leninist party guided by the ideology of Marxism-Leninism” - Communist Party of Great Britain Marxist-Leninist (CPGB-ML Party Programme and Rules)

“Recognising the aforementioned, the Communist Party of Great Britain (Marxist-Leninist) is guided by the following principles: The party, in its world outlook as well as in its day-to-day activities, is guided by the principles of Marxism-Leninism.” - Communist Party of Great Britain Marxist-Leninist (CPGB-ML Party Programme and Rules)

“While participating in the parliamentary sphere, it is the bounden duty of the party, and every party member, to expose bourgeois parliamentarism, which, although a great advance over medieval institutions, is decidedly reactionary as compared with the dictatorship of the proletariat, which represents, for the first time in history, a class rule of the majority over a tiny minority of the former exploiting classes, for only he is a Marxist who extends the recognition of the class struggle to the recognition of the dictatorship of the proletariat.” - Communist Party of Great Britain Marxist-Leninist (Party Programme and Rules)

“Recognising the teachings of Marxism-Leninism, fully confirmed by history, the party is duty bound to inculcate that the working class cannot simply lay hold of the ready-made state machinery, and wield it for its own purposes, and that it is the task of the proletarian revolution not to transfer the bureaucratic-military machine from one hand to another, but to smash it and replace it with the dictatorship of the proletariat.” - Communist Party of Great Britain Marxist-Leninist (CPGB-ML Party Programme and Rules)

Theoretically, that is what the CPGB-ML claimed that it is a “anti-revisionist” party, and that it is “the only communist party” in Britain. However, in practically, it is the exact opposite as the CPGB-ML indication is unverifiable. The CPGB-ML claimed that it is against reformism, the practices of reformism, and the solidarity to reformism. To begin, the CPGB-ML opposes the revisionist tenets of the Communist Party of Britain (CPB) and the Communist Party of Britain's political work, “Britain's Road to Socialism,” a revisionist and reformist political work that advocates an anti-communist path on providing solidarity to the Labour Party, otherwise known as the Red Tory Party, the identical twin to the conservative Tory Party, as the revisionist and reformist political work “Britain's Road to Socialism,” additionally, demonstrates that participating in bourgeois elections on voting the Labour Party in the British parliament, it would guide to the path of “socialism,” in a peaceful way instead of revolution. This is a counterrevolutionary position from the CPB as it favours on providing solidarity to the British imperialist party (the Labour Party had a century history on oppressing the working class in Britain, annihilated the socialist revolution in Malaysia and the Malayan communists, one of the perpetrators on the foundation of North Atlantic Treaty Organisation, commonly known as NATO, an imperialist anti-communist organisation, etc), instead of aligning itself to the political principles of marxism-leninism and anti-revisionism.

Of course, in addition, the CPB may currently oppose the Labour Party (current leader of the Labour Party is Keir Starmer, or commonly known as Keith Starmer in the British left), although this is not anything recent as the CPB still embraces the revisionist tenets of reformism and had participated in a bourgeois election (the 2024 General Election) on July 4th, 2024. The “Britain's Road to Socialism” political work is the twenty-first century work of the revisionist and reformist political work “British Road to Socialism” from the now defunct Communist Party of Great Britain (CPGB), as “Britain's Road to Socialism” continues the revisionist and reformist principles of “British Road to Socialism” and the revisionist CPGB, although the CPB would update “Britain's Road to Socialism” to only participate bourgeois elections and not provide solidarity to the Labour Party. However, nothing will change as it would still embrace the revisionist principles of reformism.

This is the only positive thing about the CPGB-ML is on opposing the revisionist CPB (A critique of the CPB's 'Britain's Road to Socialism' in the 21st century), alongside with the Labour Party (Britain's Perfidious Labour Party), Israel (in their book, Zionism: A Racist, Antisemitic and Reactionary Tool of Imperialism) and the West. However, the CPGB-ML provides solidarity and embracement to the Workers Party of Britain (WPB), a supposedly “socialist” party (The CPGB-ML was the perpetrator on the foundation of the Workers Party of Britain) led by George Galloway, a former member of the Labour Party who as a result of leaving the Labour Party and formed the Workers Party of Britain (WPB) in 2019, in the aftermath of the failure general election in 2019 campaign under the leadership of Jeremy Corbyn, who was banished from the Labour Party by the party itself, and the British ruling class. The WPB, however, had an embracement of the indoctrination social conservatism, along with embracing homophobia and transphobia within the structure of the political party itself.

The WPB, in addition, embraces conservative socialism, democratic socialism, and reformism, within the structure of the political party itself, and objectively, it participated in bourgeois elections. It participated in the 2021 Local Elections, the 2024 Local Elections in Rochdale, and the 2024 General Election. Of course, the CPGB-ML provided this reformist stance on providing solidarity to the WPB (when the Workers Party of Britain was founded, the majority of the WPB members were those who were members of the CPGB-ML, which provided an explanation on the CPGB-ML's solidarity to the WPB) on bourgeois elections. As Joti Brar (the individual I had mentioned on the previous section of this chapter), who at the time was the deputy leader of the WPB, stated:

“Well done to all our Workers Party candidates who worked so hard campaigning around the country over the last six weeks and more – in the English council elections, in the Welsh Senedd elections and in the Scottish parliamentary elections. Whatever the result in your particular area, you are making history. Our party, less than 18 months old, has successfully registered itself with the Electoral Commission (no mean feat, since the process is made deliberately difficult). We have founded young branches all over the country and brought forward new talent from the ranks of the working class. Our candidates are not ‘politicians’; they are not pros – they are ordinary workers who have realised that, if we want a better future, we are going to have to stop relying on career politicians and build it for ourselves.

And that future starts with organisation. There are powerful forces ranged against us, but we have two things on our side. The first is that *the workers of Britain represent the country’s future*, while our monopoly capitalist rulers represent a decaying and senile system whose future is in the past. The second is in the simple truth expressed so beautifully by Percy Shelley: ‘*We are many, they are few.*’ Our rulers have put huge resources into destroying our once-proud working-class organisations and teaching us to believe that we are weak and insignificant individuals, entirely powerless to effect any of the change we so desperately need.

This is a truth they badly need us to accept, since it is *the collective power of the working class* that will finally end their corrupt and parasitic reign. It is the ruling class's job to try to disunite and demoralise workers; to try to stop us from coming together to organise and build; to try to stop us from replacing capitalism with socialism. *It is our job to do it anyway.* As a new and unknown party, hampered by a total media blackout, even from organs of the so-called 'left', we could not expect to break through into the consciousness of our fellow workers overnight, nor to break the habits of cynicism and disaffection of the majority. This disaffection is entirely to be expected. Workers whose jobs, GP surgeries, community centres, social housing and more have been steadily destroyed over the last four decades, without a finger lifted by a single 'elected representative' are right to conclude that 'politicians are all the same'. Over and over on the doorsteps, our campaigners met workers who told them: "I don't vote, there's no point."

It will take time for the Workers Party to prove that we are indeed something different and to galvanise those workers to return to the ballot box in places where we have candidates standing. More importantly than persuading workers that there is now a point to voting, however, is the task of inspiring and mobilising them to join with us in rebuilding a mass socialist organisation that is present in every working-class community across the country. What we need is not only a 'voice' in councils and parliaments that are set up in such a way that such a voice has very little chance of impacting policy, but also a *force* in the streets that is too strong to be ignored. The Workers Party is determined to build and enable the working class once more to create such a force for itself. Whatever the result in any particular area, our candidates and supporters have put down a marker. The Workers Party is here to stay and we are determined to build a new politics in Britain – to bring back hope to all those who have lost it, and to remind workers through their own experience that they have a strength in -

numbers that our rulers ignore at their peril.” - Joti Brar
(ELECTIONS 2021: A MESSAGE FROM JOTI BRAR, DEPUTY LEADER WPB)

Of course, along with the CPGB-ML on its stance the 2024 Local Elections in Rochdale, along with providing solidarity to the WPB in the recent General Election, as Ranjeet Brar (the brother of Joti Brar, and the son of Harpal Brar) stated:

“There is only one choice. @WorkersPartyGB: vote independent. Vote for Palestine, for peace, against NATO, imperialism and war! No vote for Labour, Tory, SNP, Green, Liberal, Reform, or any of the shower of imperialist parties. Vote for the working class!” - Ranjeet Brar (July 4th, 2024, Twitter/X)

Delusionally, the CPGB-ML opportunistically dedicated to reformism, and rejected the political principles of marxism-leninism. It embraced counterrevolution instead of revolution, and it delusionally thought uncritically that the WPB is the supposedly “socialist” party, and a “proletarian” party that has a “revolutionary” characteristics. In addition, by voting the Workers Party of Britain would guide for a “better future” against imperialism war, and the WPB would “implement socialism” in Britain is nothing more than a result of a reformist position of democratic socialism. It favours on a peaceful path to obtain power instead of a revolutionary and correct position to obtain power to implement socialism, as a result of favouring counterrevolution to completely implement a position on class collaboration with the ruling class for the ruling class on gaining more strength to oppress the proletariat instead of increasing the weakness for the ruling class. As comrade Engels stated on democratic socialists:

“Finally, the third category consists of democratic socialists who favor some of the same measures the communists advocate, as described in Question 18, not as part of the transition to communism, however, but as measures which they believe will be sufficient to abolish the misery and evils of present-day society. These democratic socialists are either proletarians who are not yet sufficiently clear about the conditions of the liberation of their class, or they are representatives of the petty bourgeoisie, a class which, prior to the achievement of democracy and the socialist measures to which it gives rise, has many interests in common with the proletariat.” - Frederick Engels (The Principles of Communism)

Of course, historically and practically, reformism had failed and always unsuccessful. Reformists, specifically democratic socialists, tried to implement a revisionist position on a peaceful solution to collaborate with the ruling class on participating in bourgeois elections, in order to obtain power and implement “socialism.” However, as a result, this revisionist position never guided on the construction of socialism, as in addition, it resulted on maintaining the dictatorship of the bourgeoisie and additionally of the reformists later betrayed by the bourgeoisie, including overthrown in a coup d'etat. Both examples would be Chile and Venezuela. In Chile, it attempted to implement socialism through reformism. However, as it resulted of a failure and for the democratically elected Salvador Allende and his party to be overthrown in a Western-backed coup d'etat (that was backed by the Western imperialists), which the Chilean fascist, Augusto Pinochet, obtained power and ruled with an iron fist in Chile.

In Venezuela, the democratic socialists, mainly the social democrats, obtained power through bourgeois election, and it additionally had not implemented socialism, as it maintained capitalism, as the result of the United Socialist Party of Venezuela collaborating with the Venezuelan bourgeoisie.

In context: the USPV had completely done nothing and only obtain power as this was the goal instead of implementing socialism. This position, in conclusion, is revisionism, and the WPB would not implement socialism when it obtains, especially if George Galloway becomes the prime minister of Britain. Britain will remain an imperialist and a monarcho-capitalist country, as a result of not only the wealthy capitalists but also the British Royal Family and the House of Lords maintaining power. The position that the CPGB-ML implemented on practising reformism and democratic socialism, and voting on WPB that focuses on its petty bourgeois interests is revisionism, and it does not guide to the path of socialism, nor will it bring peace against imperialism (The WPB provides solidarity to imperialism, particularly Russian imperialists and Chinese social imperialists), etc. Additionally, a total hypocrisy of the CPGB-ML is they claim they are the supposed “anti-revisionist and marxist-leninist” party that align itself with the political principles of marxism-leninism.

“Recognising that social democracy (the Labour party in Britain) has, since the first world war, been a vehicle for not only purveying the influence of the bourgeoisie into the working-class movement, but also an instrument of the imperialist bourgeoisie for suppression of the working class at home and the national-liberation and proletarian movements abroad, the party will wage a relentless and uncompromising struggle against social democracy and its variants – namely, revisionism and Trotskyism.” - Communist Party of Great Britain Marxist-Leninist (CPGB-ML Party Programme and Rules)

In practically, the Communist Party of Great Britain Marxist-Leninist (CPGB-ML) is a counterrevolutionary and revisionist party that strongly rejects revolution, and deeply flirts with democratic socialism and kautskyist-like revisionism on a solution for reformism instead of revolution.

Red Capitalism

To summarise this, to provide the evidence of the CPGB-ML's revisionism, it is worth investigating it deeply to discover CPGB-ML's revisionism entirely. In 2008, the CPGB-ML wrote a revisionist political work on the embracement of Barack Obama, "Obama's Victory and Its Meaning." A class analysis of the CPGB-ML and its leaders, specifically estimated more than half (although the numbers are increasing) of the members of the CPGB-ML are from a class background of a petty bourgeois middle class. What is the class analysis of the founder of the Communist Party of Great Britain Marxist-Leninist? The founder of the CPGB-ML, Harpal Brar, a supposed "marxist-leninist" and "anti-revisionist," has revealed to be a landlord who had the ownership of a property in West London and owning a small business.

The company Harpal founded was "Madeleine Threhearne and Harpal Brar," as it has verifiably engaged in the sale of shawls manufactured in the Asian country of India (a former British colony that used to be part of the British Empire). The founder of the CPGB-ML, supposed to represent a "vanguard party that would guide on the liberation of the proletariat in Britain," rents legit estate in Britain to the working class for financial gain, and had engaged on producing profit on the capitalist trade on the products that were imported from a third world country, from the blood and sweat of the unwealthy workers working in harsh conditions in the textile factory in India. Harpal Brar is, concluded, not a marxist-leninist, as a result of him revealing to be a petty bourgeois who produced the wealth from the exploitation of the working class as he opportunistically claimed to "defend."

Apologism of Imperialism

The CPGB-ML claimed China and Russia are supposedly “anti-imperialist” countries that “combat” imperialism, particularly opposing the imperialist bloc of the Western world, specifically opposing the imperialist genocidal colonial project of America, otherwise known as the United States of America. The CPGB-ML, additionally the WAP (World “Anti-Imperialist” Platform), claim that both of the so-called “anti-imperialist” countries do not engage in wars of dominance, colonial practices, and economic rivalry with the developed capitalist countries like the United States based on producing profit for the status-quo of the dictatorship of the bourgeoisie.

“Standing firmly on the side of those who have recognised that neither China nor Russia are imperialist powers, and that the workers of the world do indeed have a side in the war against US imperialism and its Nato allies, the World Anti-Imperialist Platform has been launched to coordinate the efforts of all those who genuinely desire to work for the defeat of imperialism.” - World Anti-Imperialist Platform (Towards the World Anti-Imperialist Platform, the Locomotive of World Anti-Imperialist Revolution)

“The growing alliance between Russia and China actually offers hope to the people of the world. They are its hope of an alternative to US domination and imperialistic superexploitation. A strong anti-imperialist camp is our people’s best defence against the aggressive plan of the bloodthirsty Nato alliance.” - Communist Party of Great Britain Marxist-Leninist (The global drive to war and the launch of the World Anti-imperialist Platform - Global capitalist crisis leads to global wars)

Is the claim of the CPGB-ML and the WAP truthful? No! Do not be fooled with the revisionist position! They are opportunistically misguiding the proletariat on an attempt to guide the proletariat to the path of revisionism and social chauvinism, on labelling imperialism in the East “progressive and anti-imperialist,” simply for opposing imperialism in the West. In reality, the CPGB-ML, along with the social imperialist WAP that disguises itself as “anti-imperialist,” had implemented the distortions of anti-imperialism, along with distorting the leninist understanding of imperialism on providing a misinterpretation that developed capitalist countries outside the Western world, specifically imperialism in the East (mainly China and Russia), for opposing imperialism in the West, including the American imperialists, automatically fabricates that they're “anti-imperialists.” Of course, this is a position of campism, a false position of anti-imperialism on labelling developed capitalist countries as “anti-imperialist,” and that one side of the imperialist bloc opposes another imperialist bloc is, delusionally, “the anti-imperialist” side, simply because these developed capitalist countries get oppressed by other developed capitalist countries from the other imperialist bloc.

To summarise this, the CPGB-ML and the WAP, claim that lesser imperialist countries like China and Russia are “anti-imperialist,” as a result of these developed capitalist countries being threatened by the greater imperialist countries like the United States, and that these lesser imperialist countries who oppose the greater imperialist countries would automatically conclude they are “anti-imperialist.” Of course, this is a pseudo-marxist position, and a counterrevolutionary position onto rejecting dialectical materialism on the material conditions of China and Russia. Denying that, economically, China and Russia became imperialist countries as a result of the dictatorship of the bourgeoisie carried on developing and developing, until it obtained its full potential -

once the dictatorship of the bourgeoisie had fully developed, including attempting to achieve its goals to maintain capital and the market economy. Furthermore, for China, since Mao Zedong had unfortunately deceased on September 9th, 1976, had went on the path of revisionism. Meaning, China went to the path of the restoration of capitalism, and implemented the dictatorship of the bourgeoisie and market reforms within the country itself. Therefore, the social imperialist position of the CPGB-ML and the WAP does not demonstrate any difference as this is the exact position with the Kautskyists and Karl Kautsky, specifically the German Social Democratic Party, on providing solidarity to German imperialism and the German Kaiser (Wilhelm II) in the First World War, and that would include those pseudo-marxists in the Second International implementing the same counterrevolutionary position on providing solidarity to imperialism on both sides in the entirety of World War One, which it was an imperialist conflict from the developed capitalist countries on both sides to compete on militarism (land conquest and empire building), as an alternative option to gain the natural resources, control the economy in countries under occupation, and exploit the people for the developed capitalist countries on both sides to profit on, and a way to benefit for the market economy in the developed capitalist countries on both sides in this bloodthirsty conflict.

Before providing details on the material conditions of China and Russia, we must to understand what imperialism is. Imperialism, in a marxist explanation, is not based on land conquest and militarism. Imperialism is mostly based on economically and politically, as furthermore, it is about obtaining the natural resources in countries, controlling the economy in countries, and exploiting the proletariat in countries.

First World countries, along with the Second World countries, exploited the Third World countries and spread their influence in the Third World for the Third World countries to be used as colonies for the First World and the Second World to dominate, in order to produce wealth for the capitalists in the First World and the Second World to enrich themselves from the blood of the workers and peasants in the Third World. The method that was implemented by the First World and the Second World is, of course, neo-colonialism, the late stage of imperialism, and in addition, the companies in the First World and the Second World would open up sweatshops in the Third World, and exploit the unwealthy workers as being used as a tool for the capitalists in both the First World and in the Second World to produce profit and become wealthier.

The difference between the First World and the Second World is that the First World is greater imperialist countries like the United States, Canada, Britain, Germany, France etc (the Western World), while the Second World is lesser imperialist countries, mainly China and Russia. However, the Second World can additionally either be potential imperialist (Poland, Ukraine, Czechia, Slovakia, Lithuania, etc) but under the domination and influence of the First World, or just independent but not in the same level as the greater imperialist countries (the First World), which of course, militarism. Should we support the Second World as they do not pose a bigger threat as the First World? Absolutely not! This is an incorrect position to follow as the lesser imperialist countries, just like the greater imperialist countries, still pose a threat to the international proletariat and the Third World, and the lesser imperialists compete with the greater imperialists in not only the capitalist markets, but additionally both empire building and engage in conflicts for natural resources, and the exploitation of the oppressed.

Us anti-imperialists, we shouldn't provide solidarity to imperialism, and we should oppose any imperialism ... both greater and lesser, and we should both provide solidarity to the Third World and the proletariat who are oppressed by the ruling classes in the First World and the Second World. Now that I provided an explanation of imperialism, how do we support the investigation of China and Russia being imperialist countries? To provide evidence of China and Russia being imperialist countries, we must investigate a materialist analysis of China and Russia.

Chinese Social Imperialism & Social Colonialism

Before providing China's social imperialism and social colonialism, we must go for a quick recap. Ever since from 1978 – present-day, China went on the road to the restoration of capitalism (which began in 1976 after Mao's death when China started to follow the path of revisionism, and following the arrest of the Gang of Four, who were Mao's successors. By 1978, China fully embraced revisionism, and fully went on the position of revisionism when Deng Xiaoping gained power with the help of Hua Guofeng, who was the perpetrator of the arrest of the Gang of Four, specifically Mao's wife as she was one of the Gang of Four) and adopted anti-communist positions, especially adopting anti-socialist positions in his policies, its constitution, its foreign policy, etc. To start off, in the Post-Mao period of China, China had moved to capitalist reforms and economic reforms on changing the planned economy to a capitalist market economy, including participating in the global capitalist market.

China had opened up its borders to the Western imperialists for the Western imperialists to open up sweatshops to produce wealth on the exploitation of the Chinese working class.

China even bailed out the Western imperialists in the period of the 2008 economical crisis in the capitalist world, and the capitalist market economies in capitalist countries had fallen in a similar level of the era of the capitalist crisis in the period of the Great Depression. Now that I provided a quick recap on China's revisionism/restoration of capitalism, I will provide the evidence of China's social imperialism and social colonialism, along debunking the apologists of Chinese social imperialism/social colonialism. In the 21st century, apologists of China had denied China's social imperialism and its social colonialism, specifically China's social colonialism in the African continent.

The levels of transparency of Chinese loans are very low, the amount of loans is very high, compared to the official disclosures in the reports that is open for the public, resulting in a large number of hidden debts. Reports suggest that China often demands public-sector assets as collateral. Cross-cancellation agreements, which link different loans together, are one factor contributing to the difficulty of repaying debt to China. These clauses impose hardship on a borrower to abandon a project and confer bargaining power to Chinese institutions. In Argentina, a \$2 billion loan from the China Development Bank for a railway project included a cross-cancellation clause linked to a \$4.7 billion loan for a hydroelectric dam project.

In addition to avoiding the debts, when the new Argentine presidential administration attempted to discontinue the project itself, the China Development Bank threatened to cut-off the loan for the railway project. The Chinese loans are not primarily aimed at making profit for Chinese banks, but are aimed at implementing a state of economic dependence for the countries of the global south, a dependence that is an entry point for Chinese capital.

Then, there is the anticipatory annexation, which is a type of the previously mentioned anticipatory annexation, aimed at securing the market against American capital competing with China (There are estimated 10,000 Chinese companies that are actively doing its operation in Africa, and their value is higher than 51 of the 54 powerful economies in African countries) and a type of the prior mentioned anticipatory annexation. Debt often is a tool for implementing investments for China and its capitalist market economy. As the majority of loans granted by China are allocated to the implementation of mining infrastructure, or infrastructure related to the extraction of raw materials, in a situation where most of the extracted raw materials are directed for export to China, which China herself becomes the largest beneficiary of such credit.

In Kenya, it borrowed heavily from the Chinese creditors when the economic crisis limited the possibility of borrowing from creditors of the World Bank in 2014. Chinese banks provide an offer of a lower restrictive requirements for loans, which then Chinese banks became Kenya's main creditors for the country itself. The treasury of Kenya demonstrates that interest payments to China, primarily through Exim Bank of China, rose to almost \$160 billion in the first quarter of 2023 from \$77.6 billion in 2022. This rise in the interest of 106.41% was attributed to the floating interest rates on Chinese loans, which is one of many factors causing them to be more difficult to repay to begin with.

AidData discovered that in the Eastern African country of Kenya, China was responsible for financing three times more projects than the United States of America between 2014 and 2017 through credits. Nigeria, the largest creditor for China, borrowed a total of \$4.34 billion through the Exim Bank of China, accounting 84% of Nigeria's total bilateral debt. The African Development Bank, -

provided an explanation that Chinese credits are considered an alternative and lesser restrictive than their Western counterparts. However, there are huge gaps in the structure of the loans itself, and concerns about the safety of Nigerian assets. Nigeria is a prime example of the scale of Chinese capital invested in Africa's mining sector. The major investments as such the construction of the Kaduna-Kano railway line worth \$1.7 billion, and the Lagos-Kano railway line worth \$6.7 billion, were financed by Chinese companies, in particular the China Civil Engineering Construction Corporation, otherwise known as CCECC for short.

The role of China is not limited to railways, as telecommunications and mining infrastructure is additionally being constructed. For Nigeria's mining infrastructure, given the abundance of data, provides an example of the Chinese benefits from investment and the damage to the local economy in the long term. Based on the NEITI report, 102 companies were involved in mining operations in Nigeria in 2020, of which only 65 companies obtained information on beneficiaries. In all, there were 28 Chinese shareholders owned the companies, 23 local Nigerian owners owned the companies, and the rest were owned by other smaller foreign owners.

When the production of individual companies is compared, among Nigerian companies, 33.49 million tons of mineral products comprised the largest volume of extracted raw materials, which constituted 51.85% of the total extraction. In addition to companies whose owners are unknown, the second largest force in extraction was the unknown companies whose extraction translates to 21.39 million tons of mineral resources, which gives 33.12% of the total extraction.

In the extract of natural resources, the Chinese companies were the third largest force, extracting a total of 4.24 million tons of mineral resources, translating into 6.57% of the country's extraction. Although the Chinese extraction rate in Nigeria is likely much higher, due to the inability to verify the owners of almost half of Nigerian companies, the official figure remains less than 7% nationwide. Furthermore, constitutes a large share of Chinese capital invested in Nigeria, as well as China controlling Nigeria's industries to benefit it off for Chinese capital.

In the Nigerian market, Chinese entities in other industries are dominant, whose value estimated had reached \$20 billion in 2020. According to data collected by the Chinese Chamber of Commerce in Nigeria, Ye Shuijin (Chinese Chamber of Commerce in Nigeria), 160 Chinese companies operating in the country employ over 200,000 Nigerians. In the data of Nigerian exports, It shows that China is the main beneficiary of the Nigerian mining industry, the total export volume in 2020 was 32,992 million tons, of which 26,550 were exported to China, which represents 80.48% of the export of all Nigerian raw materials.

China's neo-colonial plunder of the Democratic Republic of the Congo: Both Chinese state-owned companies, Sinohydro Corp and China Railway Group Limited, re-negotiated their plunder in the Congo, as both companies hold 68 % of Sicomines, a joint copper and cobalt mining venture with a local corporation. The two Chinese corporations will pay a squishy royalty of 1.2% on gross sales. During the period of the agreement, the Chinese firms pledged to invest \$7 billion in infrastructure in the Congo, however additionally, in the previous contract they had agreed to put in \$3 billion but actually invested only \$822 million.

Congo is the world's largest producer of cobalt and the world's third-largest copper producer, while the Chinese companies dominate the Congo's mining sector as China's attracted to the Congo's natural resources to profit it off for the economy. Sinohydro is the dominant global builder of hydropower dams, owned by the Chinese government. In the end, the state originally acquired China Railway Group Limited by direct equity ownership, which then diversified equity in shares traded on the Shanghai and Hong Kong stock exchanges. In addition, the state retains majority control while Lehman Brothers, Allianz Global Investors, and ICBC Credit Suisse among others additionally hold millions of shares.

It is not a problem for both the government of the People's Republic of China, and the Western capitalist countries, especially the imperialist organisations of NATO and the European Union, are interested in profits to benefit the ruling classes on both sides to produce more wealth while the Congolese proletariat suffer from harsh working conditions of the Congolese proletariat being outright exploited ... as a tool for the imperialists on both sides to produce more profit and become wealthier day-by-day. The revisionist CPC/CCP and the Chinese government even practised child labour, and put 40,000 child workers into inhumane conditions just to be used as cheap labour for the Chinese ruling class to produce profit (from the material of cobalt) for them to become even wealthier, including benefiting the Chinese capitalist market economy.

Of course, the Chinese social colonialists from the Chinese company, such as Dongfang Congo Mining, were responsible for the horrific conditions the child workers encounter and the Chinese companies were the ones operating the mines just for the Chinese state to produce wealth and benefit the economy.

Those profits are obtained from the sweat, long hours and the dangers endured by the working class, especially child workers. In the Democratic Republic of the Congo, the mining corporations rely on logistics agents, who subcontract truckers to transport the ore to a seaport. In a situation, if the trucker loses a cargo, then the trucker themselves would be out of pocket with no payment. Despite frequent robberies, the truckers went on a strike last year, demanding a risk allowance. The Congolese masses, the Chinese social colonialists are exploiting them and their nation for profit, as well as benefiting the Chinese capitalist market economy, just as the Western colonizers all those decades and a few centuries ago, including the brutality of the Belgian colonial rule under a tyrannical absolute monarchy.

The Congolese people view no difference between Chinese and Western exploiters as they are here to colonize their country in the name of neo-colonialism (the late stage of imperialism), as well as doing it in an imperialist rivalry for world domination and influence. Both sides are in league with state bosses, they plunder the mineral wealth of the country, they make and break promises about infrastructure and industrial development, and they are completely careless for the workers. Moreover, the apologists of Chinese social imperialism and social colonialism, as well as the CPGB-ML and the WAP, outright denied the imperialist and neo-colonialist practices in the African continent that was implemented by the People's Republic of China, the Chinese ruling class, and the revisionist Communist Party of China/Chinese Communist Party.

However, this is not over yet as we have to investigate on Russian imperialism, as well as the purpose of the Russian Federation's goals.

Russian Imperialism

Russia, officially known as the Russian Federation, is another imperialist country that the CPGB-ML, along the WAP included, provided solidarity and opportunistically provide an uncritical explanation that Russia is “anti-imperialist,” simply because Russia opposes Western imperialism, including NATO and the European Union. Now, is the claim from the World “Anti-Imperialist” Platform, as well as the CPGB-ML, that Russia is “anti-imperialist,” because it oppose Western imperialism? Well, we must further investigate it in order on to providing an explanation and answer for this question.

The Russian Federation, since its creation and the aftermath of the illegal dissolution of the Soviet Union, was an imperialist country, and imperialism always remained for Russia to Russia herself try to survive as in the early years in the 1990's, it was dealing with a chaotic crisis within the country and the economy. In 2000, Putin succeeded Boris Yeltsin (The capitalist oligarch, like Putin, benefited the profit from the dissolution of the Soviet Union, as well as one of the perpetrators for the illegal dissolution of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics), and in fact, in the early years of the Putin regime. Putin and his capitalist oligarchs, at the time, attempted for both the Western imperialists and Russia to engage co-operation between both sides, and Putin embraced for Russia to join NATO.

As the Georgian communists provided an explanation:

“The leadership of post-Soviet Russia passes into the hands of the comprador bourgeoisie, unshakably standing on guard of Western neocolonial interests. The then comprador bourgeoisie, with its politicians and ideologists, with its Yeltsin and separatism, advocated the neo-liberalisation of the entire post-Soviet space. Under President Yeltsin, Russia took over the debts of not only the Soviet Union, but also the Provisional Government and tsarist Russia, thus becoming the vassal state of the West. In 2000, a young, charismatic, pro-American president, Vladimir Putin, left the ranks of the comprador bourgeoisie. Putin spoke at international conferences about the western choice of Russia and cooperation with NATO, which in the eyes of the West portrayed him as a sober successor to Yeltsin. At this point, at least in the eyes of the West, everything was going according to plan, although changes in Russia’s domestic policy were gradually beginning to radically change the situation in the country. In exchange for the fact that the oligarchs ceased to hide their income, the state abolished its tax policy; the government guaranteed the ruling class the preservation of the achievements of privatization. New rules were established, and the naughty Putin capitalists left the game. The Russian oligarchy invited representatives of the repressive state apparatus (the police, the army, security) to the political elite.

Their number in ministries increased from 11.2% to 42.3% from 1993 to 2008. In other words, Putin begins to consolidate the national bourgeoisie in Russia, which will gradually develop into an imperialist bourgeoisie. Putin’s rise in power coincides with a huge increase in oil prices, especially in 2007. The oligarchs, who retained control of oil and gas, suddenly found themselves in a favourable position. The economy began to grow by 5-10% per year. The number of dollar billionaires has increased since 2001 by 14 times (8 in 2002, 111 in 2014). The growth of wealth has reduced the demand for external debt, and Russia has become a bold player in the international arena. Relations between Russia and the West were further complicated by the fact that Western capital has reigned in the post-Soviet space on a devastated restructuring since the 1990s.” - Georgian Communists (A Marxist Position Regarding Russian Imperialism)

Moreover, the relations between the Russian Federation and the West had slowly decreased while Russian imperialism and the hostility between imperialism on both sides slowly increased year-by-year. Of course, the rivalry between Russia and the West was following the Russian invasion of Georgia in 2008, as Georgia was the hot-spot for the imperialists on both sides on the attempt to implement influence and domination within the country (to use Georgia as a puppet vessel, and to this day, both Russia and the West, even the EU, try to attempt to spread influence and domination in Georgia, with the recent of the EU attempting to get Georgia to become a Western-vessel for Western imperialism, which Russia attempted to do the same, but with in the name of Russian imperialism), as well as in addition, to obtain Georgia's natural resources, etc. For the Georgian regions of Abkhazia and Ossetia (which are currently under Russian control and Russian influence), they are puppet vessels for Russian imperialism and the Russian capitalist oligarchs in the aftermath of the Russo-Georgian War.

Now, what about both Georgian regions of Abkhazia and Ossetia?

1): Ossetia, being the economic puppet of the Russian Federation, and being a Russian tool to smuggle sub-sanction goods as a method to obtain its hands on, while under Western-sanctions on both financial and trade, etc. Furthermore additionally, it is a method for Russia's attempt on avoiding sanctions that was implemented by the Western imperialists, and how Russia used this method is through the Donbas region in Eastern Ukraine where the coal mining industries, under the ownership of the Donbas coal mining companies, had exported the goods that had been transported to Russia, and from Russian ports to Europe itself through officially registering in the Ossetia region.

2). Abkhazia, being the identical puppet region and a Russian tool (just as Ossetia) for Russian imperialism, contains a more interesting explanation and provides the analysis of the Russian-controlled Georgian region's situation. At the beginning of the 2020's, there is this so-called "Programme for the Formation of a Common Socio-Economic Space between the Russian Federation, and the Republic of Abkhazia," that had officially been signed between both parties. The agreement contained a "resolution" on "solving" the situation with the Abkhaz and Russian citizenship for the population of Abkhazia. Although the majority of the population of Abkhazia were Russian citizens and had a Russian citizenship, while 1/10 of the Abkhaz population do not have a Russian citizenship, and aren't officially the citizens of the Russian Federation. So the actual question is: What is the actual explanation, and the purpose of this agreement that both Russia and Abkhazia had signed to begin with?

Well, the Georgian communists would provide the explanation to answer for this question:

"The purchase of real estate in Abkhazia is limited for foreign citizens, but the agreement will greatly facilitate the acquisition of citizenship of Abkhazia for Russian investors. In addition, the conditions for creating the legal framework necessary to attract investment in the energy sector are indicated. According to the agreement, the activities of Russian banks in Abkhazia will be intensified, financial assistance will be provided to Russians wishing to acquire real estate in Abkhazia, it will be easier for banks to own real estate in Abkhazia. Russia also assumes responsibility for the health care, education and social security of Abkhazia. In addition, the conditions for creating the legal framework necessary to attract investment in the energy sector are indicated." - Georgian Communists (A Marxist Position Regarding Russian Imperialism)

For the question of capital exports, Russia had engaged in the international markets. As of the fact, Russia's concentration of capital, as well as Russia's capital reflection on both production and trade, reveals the imperialist nature of the Russian Federation. Miniature entrepreneurs, as well as miniature traders included, are actively evicting from the market trading networks belonging to the monopolies, such as the goods of beer, crossroads, Carousel, and kopeika, are part of group X5. Of course, the share of financial assets concentrated in the banking sector in Russia is estimated to be 85–90%.

Since the biggest companies and financial institutions are either completely or in part owned by the government, which is the defining feature of Russia's capitalism as a state-monopoly system, and further, Russia's capitalism is characterized as state capitalist, meaning that the dictatorship of the bourgeoisie has control over the means of production, rather than these being controlled by individually capitalists not affiliated with the bourgeois state. Between 1998 and 2013 (Russia's capital with direct exports investment), Russia's investments abroad reached \$500 billion, representing 23.4% of its total domestic income in 2013 (up from 4.9% in 1999). Comparative data for other leading G7 nations: United Kingdom – 74.4%, France – 59.7%, Germany – 47.1%, Canada – 40.3%, United States – 37.5%, Italy – 28.9%, Japan – 20.1%. Conversely, we can see the concept of indirect investments (portfolio investment), which involves the passive ownership of shares by an investor, including the purchases. Russia's indirect investments from 2002 to 2013 is accessible. During this time-frame, 256 billion dollars were sent out of Russia.

The export of capital in the shape of importing labour is a clear instance of imperialism. A portion of the worker's earnings is sent back to their country of origin, while the extra value they generate from their work is reinvested into the profits of the imperialist nation. Russia was seventeenth globally in utilizing the low-cost labour of migrants, with remittances to their home countries reaching \$1.1 billion in the year 2000. By the year 2013, it had risen to second place (following the United States of America), surpassing Saudi Arabia (\$35 billion), Switzerland (\$30.1 billion), Germany (\$19.6 billion), France (\$13.4 billion), Luxembourg (\$11.9 billion), and the Netherlands (\$11.4 billion). Now, the revisionists and opportunists like the CPGB-ML, the ACP, and the WAP, would try to implement an argument of "Russia stands for the Global South." Again, the Georgian communists would provide an answer to this:

"We will be asked: "after all, Russia forgives the debts of the countries of the global South?" to which we will be happy to answer: how it forgives. The Soviet Union actively financed the countries that were again freed from Western colonialism, and after the collapse of the socialist camp, the role of the debtor went to the Russian Federation. Since 2000, there have been reports in the media that an impoverished, devastated and devastated Russia has begun to forgive debts to different countries. Forgiveness of debts to developing countries — are old tactics of the imperialists, guaranteeing preferential conditions for their future investments. The Putin bourgeoisie quickly learned this lesson and set to work. Russia has forgiven various countries \$140 billion in debt for 18 years. North Korea was forgiven for \$11 billion in debt, but in return, Russian corporations got the right to restore the railway network, which totaled a project of \$25 billion. They forgave debts to Guinea in exchange for gaining control over the extraction of bauxite in their territory. She forgave Syria's debts for 2005, and in return received a contract for the purchase of military weapons, so the Russian military industry receives good profit from the war in -

Syria. The debt was forgiven in Iraq, in exchange for which the private company “Lukoil” was allowed to work in Iraq. Russia is focused on enriching its own bourgeoisie by writing off public debt and external expansion of Russian capital.” - Georgian Communists (A Marxist Position Regarding Russian Imperialism)

Of course, the CPGB-ML, along the WAP, in addition, would mention Syria, and that Russia participated in the Syrian Civil War to provide solidarity and help to the Syrian president, Bashar al-Assad, against the United States and its allies, including the Western-puppet of the rebel groups in Syria. Just because Russia intervened in the Syrian Civil War against the West, does not mean we should uncritically provide support Russia. The Syrian Civil War is an inter-imperialist conflict between both sides to implement domination and influence in the use militarism, as it cannot achieve its goals in any other way (control the markets, the economy in that country, exploiting that country's natural resources and people), and in addition, compete against each other geopolitical and economic interests. As the Georgian communists already provided an explanation of the relations between the Russian Federation and the Syrian Arab Republic, the full context does not discontinue here with the mention of the purchase of military equipment.

In Syria, the state took control of the majority of the oil fields and started to sell the local oil at a reduced cost through Turkey (now Türkiye), a move that Russian businesses were not permitted to do. Russia saw a chance to take over these oil firms and seized the opportunity, doing so in the manner that imperial powers have traditionally followed. Since 2015, Russian firms have been advancing into Syria. In 2019, the Syrian government passed a law permitting two Russian firms to explore and develop oil and gas reserves.

These firms now cover an area of up to 12,000 square kilometres, and the amount of natural gas within these reserves is estimated to be 3.4 trillion cubic meters. Overall, this is not an anti-imperialist position. This is an imperialist position being implemented by Russian imperialism to try to colonize the Syrian nation, as well as attempting to spread its domination and influence in Syria. Moreover, in Iraq, the debt was cleared, and in return, the private firm "Lukoil" was permitted to operate within the country. Russia is concentrating on benefiting and enriching its bourgeoisie by cancelling public debt and external expansion its capital abroad.

In Post-Soviet countries like Ukraine, Belarus, Kazakhstan, Armenia, and Uzbekistan, the primary areas of investment for the Russian capital were in industries such as metallurgy, mining, oil and refining, property, wholesale distribution, food processing, telecommunications, and banking. The bulk of these investments were focused on Ukraine, which was recognized as one of the most significant economic areas following the dissolution of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics (USSR). By the year 2014, Russian enterprises had a controlling stake in 80% of the oil refineries and the military-industrial complex, as well as in sectors like transportation, engineering, gas and metallurgy. Additionally, they owned 150 properties in Crimea.

Adopting a materialistic perspective helps in deciphering the motives behind Russia's aggressive expansion in Ukraine. The 2014 Euro-Maidan Coup D'etat marked a turning point, freeing Ukraine from Russian dominance and subjecting it to the voracious appetite of Western capital. It's clear that these events were not mere happenstance. The February 24th invasion in 2022 by Russia was another effort on its part to reclaim its nourishment.

Just like Ukraine, the Russo-Ukrainian War is an inter-imperialist conflict between both sides of imperialism to implement domination and influence in the use militarism, as it cannot achieve its goals in any other way (control the markets, the economy in that country, exploiting that country's natural resources and people), and in addition, compete against each other geopolitical and economic interests. Discussing the economic and political dimensions is sufficient, but equally crucial for our examination, we must also take into account the ideology behind this most potent tool of the bourgeoisie. Ideology mirrors the foundation, showcasing its interests and ensuring its endurance. In this regard, Russia employs two key strategies: one on the international stage and the other domestically.

The ideological structure of Russia is not content with merely seizing the spoils of the Great Patriotic War; concurrently, Putin's Russia managed to rehabilitate the imperialist white generals who embodied the captivating image of Russian fascism. Today, right-wing imperialist groups, Russian national heroes, and Russian patriotic soldiers represent the rightist imperialist forces of the 21st century. In contrast to Lenin and his revolution, which President Putin views as a "conspiracy and a coup" that the Russian people detested, it's clear to understand why Putin despised Lenin. Lenin himself was an advocate of anti-imperialism and the right of nations to self-determination, a stance that conflicts with the contemporary Russian state, its ideology, and political agenda. Of course, Putin, in addition, opposed both Lenin and Stalin for recognising the existence of Ukraine, along the Ukrainian language, Ukrainian culture, and the Ukrainian people, are separate from Russia, but in the eyes of Putin, the Ukrainian culture, as well as the Ukrainian language and the Ukrainian people, being "part of Russia," and that Ukraine is a "Russian region."

This is not surprising as what Putin is attempting is the exact same position as the Russian Royal Family (which is another explanation of Putin's hatred towards Lenin as a result of Lenin and the Bolsheviks executing the tyrannical Romanov Family, including the tyrant of Tsar Nicolas II, for crimes against the Russian people, as well as the anti-semitic pogroms, oppression of ethnic minorities in Tsarist Russia in penal colonies under the tyrannical absolute monarchy, etc), which to implement a cultural genocide to erase both the Ukrainian language and culture, as well as making both the Ukrainian language and the Ukrainian culture more Russian (China had done this method similarly to commit a cultural genocide in Xinjiang to erase the culture and the language of the Uyghur people, as well as making both the Uyghur language and the Uyghur culture more Chinese).

Furthermore, Putin, additionally, is attempting to erase the identity of the Ukrainian people, as well as make the Ukrainian people as "Russians," rather than being a separate identity, in the name of genocide and Russian nationalism (The Western World recently had attempted the same method on the Palestinian people, and dishonestly lie that the Palestinian people "aren't a thing" and "are ethnic population from Arab countries like Jordan where they're Jordanians," and in addition, disgustingly attempt to both erase Palestine for Israel's own manifest destiny for the genocidal colonial project to expand in the name of white supremacism, and to ethnic cleanse the Palestinians), which again, Putin is attempting the exact same position as the Russian Royal Family in the Russian Empire (which Putin is either attempting to revive the Russian Empire, or just build his own version of the Russian Empire) when the Russian absolute monarchy used the exact method in the Russian Empire's penal colonies like Ukraine and Poland.

Now, Putin and the Kremlin (even the World “Anti-Imperialist” Platform/WAP, as well as the CPGB-ML) would often mention neo-nazis and fascists in Ukraine, and that the Russian invasion of Ukraine was “an anti-fascist conflict” to “implement denazification” in Ukraine. It is an objective fact that Ukraine currently has a fascist problem within the country, especially the rewriting of history, and the cult of personality surrounding Stepan Bandera, Roman Shukhevych, and Ukrainian fascists and nazi collaborators (even the Organisation of Ukrainian Nationalists/OUN, and the Ukrainian Insurgent Army/UPA) in the Second World War (for their atrocities, as well as the most infamous one being the Wołyń (or Volyn, in English) Massacre in a genocidal campaign against Poles), as well as having a neo-nazi battalion, known as the Azov Battalion, etc, including using the disguised form of fascism (anti-communism) as the main role within the country to erase its Soviet past and rewriting history in the decommunisation campaign.

However, in reality, Putin is not denazifying Ukraine, but rather attempts to regain the Russian dominance of the country itself away from the Western imperialists, and the Western dominance of Ukraine, including gaining Ukraine's natural resources, markets, controlling the economy, and exploit the Ukrainian proletariat for the Russian capitalists to produce more profit for them to become wealthier and wealthier. The hypocrisy of Russia when it comes to Ukraine's fascist problem is that Russia never implemented denazification and defascistisation (both the anti-Kremlin fascists/neo-nazis, and the pro-Kremlin fascists/neo-nazis) within her soil, but only purging the far right groups and figure like Alexei Navalny (who not that long ago officially had been deceased) who oppose the putinist regime, while the far right groups who defend the putinist regime and spread Russian propaganda, had never been purged for their reactionary views.

The example would be the far right political party of the so-called “National Liberation Movement,” NLM/NOD. The Russian Federation, in addition, recruited its own fascists and neo-nazis to the frontline in Ukraine to help the Russian capitalists for them to become wealthier through the bloodshed of the imperialist conflict in the suffering of the Ukrainian proletariat (Of course, Russia claims that Ukraine is “full of neo-nazis.” This is not entirely accurate as not every single individual is a neo-nazi, especially the civilians fleeing their homeland as a result of Russian imperialism. Before 2022, the majority of the Ukrainian side fighting in Donbas are neo-nazis, mainly the Azov Battalion included (there were neo-nazis in the side of the Donetsk People's Republic as well). However, in the Russo-Ukrainian War, those in the Ukrainian side are mainly workers and peasants who were provided with a military uniform, equipment like a helmet and a rifle, and forced to be recruited in the frontline just for the proletarians to be used as a meat-shield and be killed, in order for both the Ukrainian capitalists and the Western imperialists to benefit and wealth themselves from human suffering, just as the Russian imperialists doing the exact same thing).

Russian far right militant groups of the Rusich Group and the Wagner Group, are one of the examples of groups fighting in Ukraine in helping the Russian imperialists, as well as fighting in the name of Russian nationalism, and ruscism/Z-ism (Russian fascism). Moreover, this is a falsification of anti-fascism, coming from Russian propaganda and the Russian imperialists themselves, to hypocritically only mention the fascist problem, as well as fascists and neo-nazis in Ukraine while denying their own fascism. Of course, the World “Anti-Imperialist” Platform, and the CPGB-ML, would outright deny it with their apologist position of Russian imperialism.

As comrade Bikram explained:

“Undoubtedly, far-right chauvinism and neo-fascist ideology are prevalent in the Ukrainian army, but Putin’s regime is not in a political, ideological and moral position to denazify, both because its aims are oppressive and aimed at denying the self-determination of the Ukrainian nation; because Putin himself has close ties with neo-fascist personalities and organizations, such as Dugin and the Wagner group, as well as with other far-right organizations. The exchange between the criminals of the Nazi Azov battalion and the oligarch Medvedchuk is proof of Moscow’s lies. Putin is a conscious anti-communist and his ideology is inherently anti-Soviet and anti-Leninist, as we have demonstrated in several articles published in Scintilla.” - Bikram (Putin and Soviet Symbolism)

Further to elaborate, Putin and the capitalist oligarchs opportunistically use Soviet symbols, as well as distorting Soviet history to fit their propaganda agenda, as well as their ruscism/Z-ism and imperialism. As the Georgian communists stated:

The second ideological trick of the Russian bourgeoisie (much more dangerous, given its international influence) —is the exploitation of the Soviet heritage. Russia is actively using the old concept of Soviet Russia, according to which Russia is a state which is supporting the anti-imperialist struggle of oppressed peoples. The primary task of imperialism is — to destroy or absorb its main enemies. The West has known this for a long time. This function is performed by American and European NGOs in imperialised countries. To counter them, Russia has its own agencies. Russia Today, for example, often provides a platform for those radical left-wing thinkers who are becoming less and less acceptable in Western media. The Russian bourgeoisie with characteristic treachery and manipulativeness speaks in its country about the virtues of tsarism, about an unhappy royal family, the kindness of fascist groups, the greatness of the Church, and at the international level uses its stands to criticize homophobia in Eastern Europe, expose the -

outrage of conservatism, criticize liberalism, and highlight information about international left movements. “Obviously, — some Russian communists will say, — that we do not agree with the cultural propaganda of the Russian government, but we will not forget how Russia helps international anti-imperialist movements». They remind us of Syria, about Venezuela, point to the sweetened partnership of “communist” China with Russia. In fact, the struggle for Venezuela and Syria — is an inter-imperialist conflict, where Russia uses antagonism with the West for the sake of its economic and geopolitical interests. We have already mentioned Russia’s relations with Syria, but in fact this story does not end with the purchase of military equipment. In Syria, “The Islamic State” seized most of the oil field and began selling local oil at a low price through Turkey, which Russian corporations could not allow. Russia had the opportunity to seize oil companies itself, and she did it. In the best traditions of imperialism, tanks have always been followed by capital, and since 2015, Russian companies have invaded Syria. In 2019, the Syrian parliament adopted a resolution allowing two Russian companies to develop oil and gas.

The total area occupied by these oil companies is up to 12 thousand square kilometers, and the volume of gas in these fields is 3.4 trillion cubic meters. In Syria, these corporations use the Wagner Group to defend themselves, but we will talk about it later. Russia also hunts for oil in Venezuela, where the United States threatened to impose sanctions against the Russian company “Rosneft” in an attempt to curb Russian influence, in response to which “Rosneft” transferred oil companies in Venezuela to a Russian state corporation. The bourgeois-democratic governments that have won in countries oppressed by Western imperialism are gradually losing their status and moving to the positions of the comprador bourgeoisie, this moves them to the control of the capital of Russia and China.” - Georgian Communists (A Marxist Position Regarding Russian Imperialism)

The government of Putin has formed a partnership with the descendants of the revisionist CPSU, primarily the Communist Party of the Russian Federation (KPRF). This party uses language that supports the unfair division of Ukraine, arguing that it's necessary to fight fascism and Western aggression. Moreover, the KPRF believes that not finishing the military campaign in Ukraine would lead to severe repercussions for Russia. This is not a mere coincidence. Revisionism consistently favors the interests of the wealthy and goes against the working class, no matter the time period or the stage of social development. Currently, the KPRF is aligned with the Russian capitalist regime (which includes elements of kleptocracy, oligarchy, and bonapartism), identically to how modern revisionism opposed the working class and socialism in the Soviet Union and other Eastern bloc nations before their demise on their fall to revisionism.

The KPRF, along with other revisionist parties and organisations, does not acknowledge Russian imperialism as its ally because it is seen as one. Backing Putin's military actions is backing the aggressive and destructive nature of imperialism, which has no connection to the fight to re-establish socialism or the embracement of the political ideology of marxism-leninism. The revisionists of the 21st century have joined forces with the putinist regime, and backed an imperialist conflict that has resulted in both the Russians and the Ukrainians as being used as both a meat-shield, and a tool for the dictatorship of the bourgeoisie on both sides to produce profit, as well as for the wealthy capitalists on both sides to benefit from human suffering. This horrific suffering is justified in the name of the political, economic, and strategic goals of the rival imperialists. The Soviet legacy of the Second World War had no connections to this imperialist aggression.

Putin and his revisionist allies, as well as the KPRF, are appropriating the symbols and illustrious history of the Soviet Union in the era of socialism, exploiting the legitimate aspirations of the vast Russian social strata that yearned for social emancipation, peace, and the brotherhood of peoples, which the socialist era of the Soviet Union (Lenin and Stalin) had achieved. The imperialist aggression against Ukraine serves neither the interests of the Ukrainian people, nor the Russian people. Furthermore, it additionally serves the interests of Russian capitalists and the putinist regime. It is important to expose the sinister nature of Russian imperialism, and Putin's political views and ideology. Finally, The necessity for the Putin regime to employ symbols associated with the Soviet era and anti-fascist ideologies to rationalize its military actions underscores a fundamental contradiction, accentuating the fragility of the putinist ideology, and in addition, the putinist regime.

This approach also signifies a significant shift in the perspective of the oppressed Russian populace towards the triumphs of the socialist Soviet Union, particularly in contrast to the neo-liberal policies implemented by Putin, which are currently facing a severe crisis exacerbated by Western sanctions. The conflict in Ukraine is poised to hasten the downfall of both the Putin regime and the capitalist system in Russia. Overall, the Russian Federation, in this conclusion of this category in this chapter, is not an anti-imperialist country, and its falsification of anti-fascism and anti-imperialism, should be denounced and exposed completely, as well as denouncing the ruscists/Z-ists, including their reactionary and imperialist apologist position. Furthermore, Russian propaganda and the Russian propagandists should be denounced as sympathizers to the Kremlin and Russian imperialism, and in addition, Vladimir Putin, the current dictator of Russia, is an imperialist, as well as denouncing his falsification of anti-fascism.

Conclusion of this chapter: The People's Republic of China, and the Russian Federation, are not anti-imperialist countries, and they are not countries that would provide a “humanitarian liberation” against the Western imperialists. They are the next imperialist powers to replace the Western imperialists, mainly the US imperialists, in the king of the hill throne of greater imperialism to, in the next few decades in the future, transform into the greater imperialist superpowers, and additionally, be a potential greater threat (the same imperialist level) as the United States and the West (they're the fallen empires, while both China and Russia are the rising empires). Us anti-imperialists, we should not uncritically provide solidarity to imperialism ... greater or lesser, as all forms of imperialism should be opposed. The WAP, and the CPGB-ML, implemented their falsification of anti-imperialism, and the distortions of the leninist teachings of imperialism. As Lenin once explained about the nature of imperialism:

“Imperialism is as much our “mortal” enemy as is capitalism. That is so. No Marxist will forget, however, that capitalism is progressive compared with feudalism, and that imperialism is progressive compared with pre-monopoly capitalism. Hence, it is *not* every struggle against imperialism that we should support. We will *not* support a struggle of the reactionary classes against imperialism; we will *not* support an uprising of the reactionary classes against imperialism and capitalism.” - Lenin (A Caricature of Marxism and Imperialist Economism – 5. Monism and Dualism)

The so-called “marxists” like the CPGB-ML and the ACP that are following this counterrevolutionary position with their falsification and distortions of anti-imperialism, are following the same position fate as the Second International when in the period of World War One, favoured imperialism and supported imperialism on both sides. In this conclusion of this chapter, the World “Anti-Imperialist” Platform (WAP) is counterrevolutionary, revisionist, social imperialist, and social chauvinist.

Endorsement of Social Fascism

As some elements of social fascism is presently disguised within the CPGB-ML, as well as the far right conspiracy theory of the so-called “LGBTQ Ideology/Gender Ideology,” and the disguised fascistic message on the dehumanisation and extermination of transgender people by secretly disguising the exact fascistic message with the label “ideology (referring to the far right conspiracy theory of the so-called “LGBTQ+ Ideology/Gender Ideology”).” However, the CPGB-ML themselves had endorsed social fascists like the “MAGACommunism” cult and their American “Communist” Party fascist grifting cult, as well as Caleb Maupin, which that should provide the evidence of the suspicion of the supposed “marxist-leninist” party, especially when it comes from a party that claims to follow the political tenets of “marxism-leninism, anti-imperialism, anti-fascism, and anti-colonialism.”

ACP: The American “Communist” Party, otherwise known the “MAGACommunism” cult, is a far right grifting cult that they are infamous for their reactionary positions, the embracement of American nationalism/patriotism and the genocidal colonial project (America) (founded on slavery, racism, colonialism, genocide and land theft), and endorsing, as well as far right reactionaries like Jake Shields, a well-known white supremacist. Furthermore, the “MAGACommunists” in addition, praised fascists like Aleksandr Dugin (an open fascist and the co-founder of the far right National Bolshevik Party) and Martin Heidegger, who Heidegger himself was a well-known nazi and an anti-semitic, as well as a Nazi Party member and never apologised nor shown any single of remorse for his actions in his participation in the Holocaust.

The CPGB-ML would outright deny this and deny the fascist nature of the ACP, and the “MAGACommunism” cult, which to demonstrate, the “MAGACommunists” embrace Trump and trumpism, including the MAGA movement (which it's in the name right there for the “MAGACommunism” cult: “MAGA,” a campaign slogan created by Trump for his followers to provide solidarity and elect him in the US Presidential campaign, and in addition, Trump's “MAGA” originates from Ronald Reagan's Presidential campaign slogan, “Let's Make America Great Again”). This is not surprising nor anything that is shocking, as both the CPGB-ML and the fascist “MAGACommunism” cult had close-relations and co-operation between both parties. Moreover, their co-operation between both parties in the revisionist World “Anti-Imperialist” Platform as a result of the ACP officially declared as the latest member of the WAP.

“The American Communist Party has officially signed the Paris Declaration of the World Anti-Imperialist Platform. At the recent Platform event, ACP International Secretary Chris Helali also met with Nicaraguan Ambassador Mauricio Lautaro Sandino Montes.” - American “Communist” Party

Caleb Maupin: Caleb Maupin (the patriotic “socialist” and founder of the political cult, known as the Center for Political Innovation, otherwise commonly known as CPI. Not to be confused with the revisionist Communist Party of India), the CPGB-ML's political figure, and the same individual who participated in podcasts together with Harpal Brar on certain topics in the podcasts they had discussed. Now, we must further investigate on Maupin's background and who he is, as in addition, his political views. Maupin had a history of his anti-communism, as well as his anti-communist political views and activities.

Maupin has frequently expressed strong criticism towards communists for their perspectives that diverge from his own social fascist, and pseudo-socialist form of patriotic "socialism." Furthermore, he has maintained close relationships with anti-communist and fascist figures, in order to present them in a favourable light to his audience. In 2014, Maupin participated in the 2nd International Conference of Independent Thinkers, which was held in Tehran, Iran. He was part of a panel discussion that also included Claudio Mutti, a leader of the "nazi-maoist" movement (a short-lived national bolshevik political movement in Italy), alongside other fascists who received funding from the CIA through Operation Gladio to crush the communist movement in Italy during the Cold War.

In 2018, Maupin was a participant at the Alternatives To Globalization Conference, where he was seated next to Aleksandr Dugin, a prominent fascist and author known for his mystical and metaphysical writings. Maupin defended his choice to engage with Dugin and praised him highly after the conference. It was noted by attendees that the only books available for purchase at CPI events were authored by Maupin and Dugin. Additionally, the CPI organized a webinar featuring Alfred De Zayas, a Holocaust denier and a member of the German far right AfD Party (which the CPGB-ML, as well as Harpal Brar, followed the same thing on the embracement of the AfD). As the owner of CPI, Maupin bears ultimate responsibility for this decision. Maupin himself had been ousted as a sex-cultist for implementing a sexual exploitation scandal towards the now former female members of the CPI cult (the cult that surrounds the cult of personality of Maupin, as well as uncritically believe everything he spouses out without the mind of critical thinking or even questioning).

Maupin embraced Laroucheism and Lyndon Larouche (a well-known far right political figure who helped the Reagan administration, as well as his organisation promoted the banderites (the same banderites that modern-day Ukrainian fascists and neo-nazis worship, as I mentioned in the previous chapter) in all the entirety of the Cold War against communism), and in addition, embracing national-bolshevism, including participating in the 2018 fascist conference (the same conference the Russian fascist Aleksandr Dugin, was in) with fascists of Julien Rochedy, Leonid Savin, Emmanuel Leroy, and Vávra Suk. Not that long ago, Caleb Maupin himself had finally openly admitted he is not a socialist, and that he objectively does not oppose the dictatorship of the bourgeoisie.

“We're not against capitalism, we're against imperialism. We're against monopolies.” - Caleb Maupin

This clearly demonstrates the evidence on who the CPGB-ML is really platforming.

Of course, the CPGB-ML had provided solidarity to the Greek social fascists of the “Collective of Struggle for the Revolutionary Unification of Humanity (CSRUEH),” in the CPGB-ML's article: “Solidarity with Greek Comrades under attack for anti-imperialist activities.” The social fascist CSRUEH had used the term “deep state” in their announcement of their supposed “anti-imperialist” activities in Athens:

“Our comrade is well and remains under protection. But this type of threat evokes memories of the dark times of deep state terrorism.” - CSRUEH (CPGB-ML: Solidarity with Greek Comrades under attack for anti-imperialist activities)

“At a time when the ongoing World War 3 is rapidly escalating, with the fronts of Ukraine and Palestine already burning and new fronts being prepared by Euro-Atlantic imperialism (one in fascistic south Korea and another in the Taiwanese puppet state), these acts of deep state security force terror against organisations and individuals who are working to build a front, at local and global level, capable of turning the barrel of the gun back on the aggressors themselves are bound to intensify.” CSRUH (CPGB-ML: Solidarity with Greek Comrades under attack for anti-imperialist activities)

Moreover, the term “deep state” is a fascist and an anti-semitic term that fascists used to refer to the conspiracy theories of Jews (using the anti-semitic term “globalists/globalist elites”), with their so-called “New World Order” that Jews would “secretly control the world (which also references to the “great reset” conspiracy theory that is part of the “white genocide” conspiracy theory, where all the white race would ceased to extinction with the white folks being bred out by the non-whites, so the Jews would focus back on their goys),” as well as references to the illuminati of a secret totalitarian government, etc.

This is the individuals that the CPGB-ML and its leaders endorses and provides solidarity to, as well included bringing the same social fascists like Maupin in podcasts, or even joining podcasts from fascist grifters like Joti Brar had in a podcast discussion with Eddie and Carlos from the Midwestern “Marx” Institute (or for the anti-revisionists in the First World would like the call: Midwestern Mussolini Institute). The evidence had provided not only the social fascist nature of the Communist Party of Great Britain Marxist-Leninist and its central committee, as well as Harpal Brar himself, but in addition, the CPGB-ML's fascist collaboration, mainly Caleb Maupin & its cult (Center for Political Innovation), and the “MAGACommunism” cult & its leaders, as well included the opportunist American “Communist” Party.

Conclusion

The CPGB-ML is not a marxist-leninist party. The CPGB-ML, practically does the exact opposite as what the CPGB-ML themselves claim (theoretically) of their position, especially when it comes to their party programme, where they theoretically claim to uphold the political tenets of marxism-leninism and anti-imperialism, and the principles of the philosophical teachings of Marx, Engels, Lenin and Stalin, but practically, the CPGB-ML does the exact opposite and opposes the political tenets of marxism-leninism, as well as in addition, anti-imperialism, including opposing the philosophical teachings of Marx, Engels, Lenin and Stalin. The CPGB-ML themselves implemented their falsification of marxism-leninism and anti-imperialism to justify their counterrevolution and their social chauvinism, on defending Russian imperialism and Chinese social imperialism (Chinese social colonialism, included), as well as the hypocrisy of the CPGB-ML on the regards of reformism on to only mention the CPB's reformist position, yet follow the exact same counterrevolutionary position on supporting a parliamentary path that voting the Workers Party of Britain (WPB) would lead to “revolution and socialism.”

The CPGB-ML also had fascist collaboration with the AfD and the social fascists like the “MAGACommunists” and Caleb Maupin. We must prevent the CPGB-ML from implementing their distorting teachings and falsification of marxism-leninism and anti-imperialism to the individuals who are new or currently learning marxism, and expose the CPGB-ML's anti-marxism. The Communist Party of Great Britain Marxist-Leninist, is revisionist, and 20 years of revisionism.

Citations:

CPGB-ML's Party Programme, articles, podcasts, and tweets archive:

<https://s3.eu-west-2.amazonaws.com/s3.cpgb-ml.org/PartyProgrammeAndRules2018.pdf>

<https://archive.ph/VWxr4>

<https://thecomunists.org/?s=caleb>

Why gay rights is not a class issue: <https://archive.ph/cGZ7d>

Obama's victory and its significance:

<https://web.archive.org/web/20200928055626/https://thecomunists.org/2008/10/01/news/obamas-victory-and-its-significance/>

The reactionary nightmare of 'gender fluidity': <https://archive.ph/peHID>

Solidarity with Greek comrades under attack for anti-imperialist activities: <https://archive.ph/vPakf>

Top British Communist Joti Brar talks with Caleb Maupin: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=5v7bWFFLzUY>

"We need the Anti-imperialist side to win" Joti Brar speaks with Midwestern Marx: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=j0zBd0TuJDc>

WPB's article on Joti Brar:

<https://workerspartybritain.org/2021/05/09/elections-2021a-message-from-joti-brar-deputy-leader-wpb/>

WAP's articles archive:

Towards the World Anti-Imperialist Platform, the Locomotive of World Anti-Imperialist Revolution: <https://archive.ph/oQ1MQ>

Debunking the CPGB-ML's Revisionism:

The CPGB-ML's Harmful Drivel on LGBTQ Rights:
<https://web.archive.org/web/20221204180625/https://solidaritypost.org/the-cpgb-mls-harmful-drivel-on-lgbtq-rights/>

Stanford scholar explores the history of gay rights in Germany:
<https://news.stanford.edu/stories/2018/12/east-germanys-lenient-laws-helped-unified-germany-become-gay-friendly#:~:text=And%20by%201957%2C%20East%20German,hard%20place%2C%20%80%9D%20Huneke%20said.>

LGBT rights and issues in AES countries:
https://en.prolewikipedia.org/wiki/LGBT_rights_and_issues_in_AES_countries

'Queer / LGBT+ Heroes' of Early Medieval Europe:
<https://www.thegns.org/blog/lgbt-heroes>

Same Sex Relations in the Middle Ages:
<https://www.medievalists.net/2011/07/same-sex-relations-in-the-middle-ages/#:~:text=For%20the%20most%20part%2C%20any,such%20as%20castration%20and%20execution.>

Homosexuality in Medieval Europe:
<https://www.prismfl.org/post/homosexuality-in-medieval-europe>

Welcome to TERF Island:
[https://en.prolewikipedia.org/wiki/Essay:Welcome_to_TERF_Island#Communist_Party_of_Great_Britain_\(Marxist-Leninist\)](https://en.prolewikipedia.org/wiki/Essay:Welcome_to_TERF_Island#Communist_Party_of_Great_Britain_(Marxist-Leninist))

Red Fightback's Marxism and Transgender Liberation: <https://www.ebb-magazine.com/reviews/marxism-and-transgender-liberation>

Red Fightback's Final Crisis: <https://www.ebb-magazine.com/essays/red-fightbacks-final-crisis>

Are there queer animals? Clownfish that change sex, and other species that demonstrate queer behavior:

<https://www.worldwildlife.org/stories/are-there-queer-animals-clownfish-that-change-sex-and-other-species-that-demonstrate-queer-behavior#:~:text=Same%2Dsex%20coupling%20and%20parenting,health%20of%20a%20species%20population.&text=Take%20a%201910%20scientific%20exploration,behaviors%20were%20seen%20in%20penguins.>

False Anti-Revisionism: <https://instytut-marksa.org/nowicki-falszywy-antyrewizjonizm-odpowiedz-na-ostatnie-klamstwa-i-insynuacje-ze-strony-osmieszenia-komunizmu/>

The Principles of Communism:

<https://www.marxists.org/archive/marx/works/1847/11/prin-com.htm>

Imperialism: The Example of the Russian Federation:

<https://www.idcommunism.com/2024/10/imperialism-the-example-of-russian-federation.html>

A Marxist Position Regarding Russian Imperialism:

<https://www.revolutionarydemocracy.org/rdnsv2n1/Georgian%60.html>

Putin and Soviet Symbolism:

<https://www.revolutionarydemocracy.org/rdnsv2n1/Putin.html>

Multilateralism:

<https://www.revolutionarydemocracy.org/rdnsv2n1/Multilateralism.html>

Chinese imperialism in Africa: <https://instytut-marksa.org/chinski-imperializm-w-afryce/>

Imperialism, the Highest Stage of Capitalism:
<https://www.marxists.org/archive/lenin/works/1916/imp-hsc/>

A Caricature of Marxism and Imperialist Economism - 5. "Monism And Dualism":

<https://www.marxists.org/archive/lenin/works/1916/carimarx/5.htm>

Anti-Jewish-Pogroms:

<https://www.marxists.org/archive/lenin/works/1919/mar/x10.htm>

The anti-communist character of the so-called "World Anti-imperialist Platform": <https://www.idcommunism.com/2023/12/the-anti-communist-character-of-so-called-world-anti-imperialist-platform.html>

Note on the "World Anti-Imperialist Platform" Meeting in Caracas:
<https://www.idcommunism.com/2023/03/note-on-world-anti-imperialist-platform-meeting-in-caracas.html>

Communist Party of Mexico (PCM): The "World Anti-Imperialist Platform", a provocative group:
<https://www.idcommunism.com/2023/06/communist-party-of-mexico-pcm-world-antiimperialist-platform-a-provocative-group.html>

Caleb Maupin: https://en.prolewiki.org/wiki/Caleb_Maupin

Maupin archive: <https://archive.ph/C1sWq>

Caleb Maupin's Former Comrades Speak Out, His Abuses Must Stop!:
<https://archive.ph/H3CHW>

MAGACommunism: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=iN87V-3FIOs&t=255s>

<https://www.tiktok.com/@yourcommiedad/video/7394578738765139230>

https://x.com/anti_acp/status/1851466783934284077

https://x.com/anti_acp/status/1849633289197199844

CPGB-ML and the far right AfD:

https://x.com/SE_Shpakova/status/1705281016930165159

